1		
1	CALIFO	RNIA PRIVACY PROTECTION AGENCY
2		DETAN OF DECODED DOADD MEETING
3	TRANSCRI	IPTION OF RECORDED BOARD MEETING
4		
5		SEPTEMBER 7, 2021
6		SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA
7		
8	Present:	JENNIFER M. URBAN, Chair
9		LYDIA DE LA TORRE, Board Member
10		VINHCENT LE, Board Member
11		ANGELA SIERRA, Board Member
12		JOHN CHRISTOPHER THOMPSON, Board Member
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22	Transcribed by:	Cynthia Piett,
23		eScribers, LLC
24		Phoenix, Arizona
25		000
		-1-

1	TRANSCRIBED RECORDED BOARD MEETING
2	September 7, 2021
3	UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: section on hiring
4	and and staffing, it seemed like there is a
5	concentration to maybe hire several attorneys. And as an
6	alternate suggestion, has have you considered reaching
7	out to the Attorney General's Office and using their
8	already staffed attorneys to advise technical staff and
9	staff that you're going to hire to to to work on
10	the policies, provisions of auditing, and all of the nuts
11	and bolts and and things that that need to be done?
12	Because I agree with Mr. Le that, you know, technical
13	staff and privacy professionals that had been working
14	with GDPR, CCPA, PITA, the numerous privacy laws
15	throughout the world are probably in a better position to
16	provide better technical advice at implementing this
17	this consumer-based law that was approved by the voters.
18	And that's one of the last stresses that I wanted to
19	convey is I hope the focus of your agency is on the
20	consumer. When you were talking about real estate, I
21	would think that since this is a a you know,
22	supposed to be the benefit of the consumer, a more a
23	location that is better suited to the consumer to be able
24	to come in, once we have that capability post-COVID,
25	would be better suited rather than a state building $$

1 MR. PANERO: Caller, you have fifteen seconds 2 remaining. 3 **UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER:** -- where they may not be 4 free to come in and express a concern about their 5 particular privacy concerns. So that's some of the synopsis of the comments that I already sent to you via 6 7 email. Thank you. Thank you for your comment. 8 MR. PANERO: 9 CHAIRMAN URBAN: Thank you very much, Mr. Right 10 (ph.), for your comment. 11 Mr. Joseph Panero, is there further public comment? 12 MR. PANERO: I'm seeing no additional comments at 13 this time. 14 Thank you, Mr. Joseph Panero. CHAIRMAN URBAN: 15 I propose that we have two options here. One would 16 be for the Board to approve a per diem policy with 17 amendments that we decide in the meeting. And Ms. Sierra 18 and I will make those amendments. The second would be for Ms. Sierra and I to make 19 20 amendments and bring them back to the next board meeting. 21 I am aqnostic on which option to take, but I understand 22 the amendments to be, number 1, to remove any requirement 2.3 or apparent requirement to report actual hours, and 24 instead only record days worked, and number 2, to replace 25 the six-hour definition with either a requirement or

-3-

1 guidance.

2	Again, I agnostic that a per diem per diem to
3	claim a per diem, one should do substantial work, and
4	that is not X. I we could we could we could
5	move forward in either either fashion. I am fine with
6	either.
7	Ms. de la Torre.
8	BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: So my suggestion is that
9	we approve it now with the understanding that those
10	changes are going to be made. I think it's the more
11	expeditious way. And then maybe we can discuss the
12	guidance, what would be the minimum that should we
13	should consider in in a future meeting or or I
14	guess in a meeting. I I guess there's no other way to
15	discuss it.
16	But just just make it a guidance, that can be a
17	paragraph that is provided to to the members as,
18	you know, do not report these or do report based in these
19	particular situations. It just seems to me that's more
20	expeditious. If I'm wrong about that and the other
21	option is faster, then I'm I'm flexible.
22	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Thank you, Ms. de la Torre.
23	I support that.
24	Mr. Phillips, is that an appropriate form of motion?
25	MR. PHILLIPS: Yes. I think that would work. -4-

1	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Okay. Thank you very much.
2	Then I will ask the Board if I could have a motion
3	to approve the a form of the per diem policy that the
4	Start-Up and Administration Subcommittee recommends with
5	the following changes.
6	The first is that there is no requirement or
7	apparent requirement to report hours, that members will
8	only report days. And secondly, that the six-hour
9	definition of a per diem be struck and be replaced by
10	guidance to board members as to what counts sufficiently
11	set excuse me, sufficiently substantial work on one
12	day to claim a per diem.
13	May I have a motion?
14	BOARD MEMBER LE: I I so move.
15	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Thank you, Mr. Le.
16	May I have
17	BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: Aye.
18	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Thank you, Ms. de la Torre. I
19	thought you were seconding, but I couldn't quite tell.
20	Mr. Joseph Panero, could we please have the roll
21	call vote?
22	MR. PANERO: Certainly. Thank you, Chairperson.
23	So Ms. de la Torre?
24	BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: Aye.
25	MR. PANERO: Ms. de la Torre, aye. -5-

1 Mr. Le? 2 BOARD MEMBER LE: Aye. 3 MR. PANERO: Mr. Le, aye. Ms. Sierra? 4 5 BOARD MEMBER SIERRA: Aye. MR. PANERO: Ms. Sierra, aye. 6 7 Mr. Thompson? BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON: 8 Yes. 9 MR. PANERO: Mr. Thompson, yes. 10 And Chairperson Urban? 11 CHAIRMAN URBAN: Yes. 12 Yes. The vote is 5-0. MR. PANERO: 13 CHAIRMAN URBAN: Thank you, Mr. Joseph Panero. 14 The motion carries. And we will proceed according 15 to what we have agreed. 16 We do have one final item from the Start-Up and 17 Administration Subcommittee, which is simply the board's 18 request for the board's sense of whether our plan for 19 prioritizing board-level policies is the same as the 20 board's and/or if the board would prefer us to -- to 21 revise our approach and do something else between this 22 meeting and the next meeting. 2.3 So as we mentioned, at the top is meeting. We have 24 been prioritizing what we think we need to get done right 25 away, with the understanding that we do have a fair -61 number of policies we will need to be considering. We 2 recommend, first of all, that we continue that approach 3 rather than trying to flesh out all the policies that are 4 in the handbook and any others we might need.

5 Secondly, we recommend for the next priority, the 6 development of an incompatible activity statement. And I 7 will turn it over to Ms. Sierra if -- for any detail that 8 she would like to offer on that.

9 BOARD MEMBER SIERRA: Okay. Thank you. So just 10 briefly, the reason that we are recommending that this be 11 the next policy that our committee work on this -- what 12 is called the Incompatibility Statement. It is something 13 that is required by state law under the government code. 14 It requires all state agencies to adopt one. These are 15 basically policies that notify employees that certain 16 types of activities and outside employment may be 17 incompatible with their duties as state employees. 18 And, you know, examples from the statute -- the 19 statute itself lays out a number of provisions that must 20 be included. For example, what would be prohibited would

21 include misuse of prestige and influence of your office.
22 We'd be prohibited to misuse state time and resources.
23 But that list is not exclusive. Agencies can add
24 additional items based on the mission of their agency in
25 our subject matter area.

-7-

1	For example, we, in developing a proposed policy
2	through for your consideration, may want to identify
3	certain types of outside employment that the board would
4	determine is incompatible for an individual who's
5	employed by our agency to also be doing, for example,
6	part-time. There is a process that an agency must pursue
7	in adopting this type of statement. And what it involves
8	is a public a thirty-day publication and comment
9	period. And then the policy needs to be approved by the
10	California Department of Human Resources. All employees
11	upon starting with our agency would need to review and
12	sign once we have a finally final and approved policy.
13	But before we did any publication and started that
14	process, we would come to the board with the proposed
15	policy. You know, our aim is to present it to you at our
16	next board meeting. And we'd also want to be exploring
17	with you whether we should be extending some or all of
18	these provisions to us as board members. That would be
19	through a conflict of interest policy, because the
20	incompatibility statement only pertains to the actual
21	employees.
22	So that is our proposal with respect to the next
23	policy for us to work on and to present to you.
24	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Thank you, Ms. Sierra.
25	I would add that we have sought advice from the -8-

California Attorney General's Office, and they are providing example policy to get us started and background information that we can provide to the Board when we are ready.

5 Is there a comment on this as the next priority, 6 whether we should have additional or other priorities? 7 Ms. de la Torre?

BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: I just want to agree with 8 9 that priority. I think that you should go ahead and -and prepare that. My initial feedback on the idea of 10 11 having that policy applied to board members is that it 12 doesn't quite make a lot of sense to me, but I haven't 13 really taken the time to think it through. The reason 14 why is because the study itself sets a number of 15 limitations on us that we have to consider on 16 (indiscernible) not necessary have to consider. 17 And also I do believe that there is already a 18 conflict of interest policy that we approve prior to now, 19 and it's -- it's going through public comment. So --I -- I'm assuming that that would be like a separate 20 21 check, but please, go ahead with the work that you're 22 suggesting.

CHAIRMAN URBAN: Thank you, Ms. de la Torre.
I would also note that Mr. Thompson and I have
signed an incompatibility activity statement as part of

-9-

1 our -- we were appointed by the governor, and that's 2 something that is part of that process, so we would have to be sure that everything is compatible. 3 4 Any further comment? 5 Thank you, Ms. de la Torre, for the thoughts. Is there any public comment? 6 7 MR. PANERO: Thank you, Chairperson. As a reminder, if anyone would like to make a public 8 9 comment, this would be the time to raise your hand in 10 your meeting window or press \*9 on your telephone. 11 And I am not seeing any public comments at this 12 time. 13 CHAIRMAN URBAN: Thank you, Mr. Joseph Panero. 14 For completeness, I was just going to share the last 15 slide, which is the end of presentation. I thank all of the board members for their time and attention. 16 17 Mr. Thompson, do you have a final thought? 18 BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON: Sorry. I didn't know Yeah. 19 if -- if your request for Board member comments was about 20 the Incompatible Activity Statement or -- or future 21 priorities of the subcommittee. So I -- I haven't --22 BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: I'm sorry to interrupt, 23 but I just wanted to bring to the attention of the board 24 this (indiscernible) screen. She's probably not 25 intending to do that.

-10-

1 CHAIRMAN URBAN: Oh, I'm sorry. What was I showing? 2 BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: Yes. 3 CHAIRMAN URBAN: What -- what was I showing? 4 BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: I don't know. They were 5 documents. I didn't --6 BOARD MEMBER SIERRA: It was several different 7 documents. Oh, my goodness. I do apologize. 8 CHAIRMAN URBAN: 9 BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: (Indiscernible). 10 CHAIRMAN URBAN: No. My intention was to show the 11 slide. 12 BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON: Good. 13 **CHAIRMAN URBAN:** That better? 14 BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON: There you go. 15 CHAIRMAN URBAN: Okay. 16 BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON: I think the incompatible activities policy makes -- makes sense for the 17 18 subcommittee to work on. I didn't know how we're going 19 to start to flesh out what -- how we are thinking of the 20 operating model of the -- of the agency, kind of what the 21 concept of our operations, our -- our goals and the 22 capabilities that we need to achieve those goals and -and what structure. 2.3 24 Obviously, some of those things will be within the 25 purview of the executive leadership of the agency when it -111 comes on board. But these strike me as things we might 2 want to get a head start on, utilizing the capabilities 3 of the -- of the subcommittee. And they will be things I 4 think the board probably as an opinion on. So I didn't 5 know if that -- how we were planning on -- on addressing 6 those bigger-picture questions.

7 CHAIRMAN URBAN: Thank you, Mr. Thompson. Are you
8 referring to something like a plan that Mr. Le brought up
9 last time, something like an organizational chart, both?
10 BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON: I -- I think they -- they
11 work together.

12

CHAIRMAN URBAN: Okay.

13 BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON: A strategic plan for what we 14 need to achieve will spell out long-term and -- and 15 medium-term goals. And then we need to start to identify 16 what capabilities we will need as an organization and 17 then what structure we want to put those capabilities 18 into. That seemed like a fair amount of work that will 19 take a while. And we'll -- it'll need the implinton 20 (sic) assistance of folks other than -- than probably the 21 two subcommittee members and -- and the staff we have on 22 board. No knock on the -- on the immense and amazing 23 capabilities of our two subcommittee members, but I -- I 24 want to make sure we're -- we're -- we're keeping our eye 25 on the longer-term development of the agency as -- as -12-

1	well, both the short-term and the longer-term needs.
2	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Thank you, Mr. Thompson.
3	The subcommittee will take that under advisement.
4	And the I will say from my part that one hope that I
5	have is that we will be able to hire an executive
6	director soon and we can all work together on on these
7	vision the vision of the structure of the agency and
8	where it's going. But your point is very well taken,
9	that, you know, this isn't something we can put off, of
10	course.
11	Any further comments?
12	Thank you very much. That concludes agenda item 4.
13	I propose that we take a thirty-minute lunch break at
14	this point and come back with agenda item 5. We we do
15	have still a fair amount of work to get through, and we
16	will need to go into closed session sort of mid-afternoon
17	if we can. So I do apologize. It's a relatively short
18	break. That is my thinking.
19	Ms. de la Torre.
20	BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: I I will suggest, if
21	everybody agrees, that we make the break shorter, even
22	fifteen minutes, if if everybody agrees. Because like
23	you mentioned, we have a fair amount to cover.
24	CHAIRMAN URBAN: That is perfectly fine with me.
25	Sometimes people need to do things, to eat, or whatever. -13-

1 || It's all right with me.

2 Do other board members have opinions? **BOARD MEMBER LE:** I -- I'd like at least twenty 3 4 minutes for a break. 5 CHAIRMAN URBAN: Okay. Ms. Sierra? 6 BOARD MEMBER SIERRA: Either is fine. I don't need 7 much time. CHAIRMAN URBAN: Okay. All right. So shall we say 8 9 twenty minutes? 10 Wonderful. Thank you all very much. We are in 11 recess at 12:05 p.m. We will return 12:25 p.m. 12 Thanks to everyone on -- from the public for 13 listening and engaging with us. 14 And thank you to the board members. I will see you 15 in twenty minutes. 16 (Whereupon, a recess was held) 17 CHAIRMAN URBAN: Good afternoon. Thank you to 18 everyone for returning. It's 12:25. And we will return 19 from recess now and reopen the meeting. We will proceed 20 with agenda item number 5, which is an update from the 21 regulation subcommittee. The regulation subcommittee, as 22 a reminder, was formed to advise the board -- the 2.3 agency's, excuse me, upcoming rulemaking and is comprised 24 of Ms. de la Torre and myself. 25 So I will be sharing our presentation. For those of -14-

1	you in the public, just check the website.
2	BOARD MEMBER LE: The materials are available.
3	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Yes, I know. They're numbered. I
4	was I was going to direct people to the correct
5	numbers.
6	This is starting with part 3, and our presentation
7	is part 5.
8	Is the Regulation Subcommittee presentation up on
9	the screen?
10	Great. Thank you, Ms. de la Torre.
11	So Ms. de la Torre and I comprise the Regulation
12	Subcommittee. We're going to give a very brief update on
13	our activities and recommended an initial course of
14	action to the board.
15	So to begin, I'm going to do a very brief overview
16	of the rulemaking process just to orient the discussion.
17	We had a longer training on this in our June 14th
18	meeting. The important thing to recognize is that there
19	are two main components to rulemaking. The first is
20	preliminary activities. Agencies are able to conduct
21	preliminary information gathering, activities to gather
22	information in whatever way is most productive.
23	So that might be requesting comments from the
24	public, written comments on the public; it might be
25	informational workshops or hearings, and there's a wide -15-

1	range of activities an agency can undertake in order to
2	gather information in this preliminary fashion.
3	Second, there is the formal rulemaking process. The
4	formal rulemaking record is opened with the publication
5	of the agency's initial version of regulations with a
6	notice of proposed rulemaking and what's called an
7	initial statement of reasons. The that process then
8	follows a strict timeline that includes, again,
9	collecting public comments, holding hearings, and
10	responding to comments. So this is sort of the basic,
11	basic overview just to orient the discussion.
12	I will also give a brief status update. The
13	regulation subcommittee has reviewed statutory
14	requirements, timelines, available resources, and process
15	options. Based on this information,, we've come up with
16	an advised initial course of action. We've secured
17	certain resources for example, some technical support for
18	accepting public comments. We are working toward a
19	personnel resources. This is within our subcommittee,
20	we've been focused on one stream because we have to
21	maintain our separation between subcommittees under
22	Bagley-Keene.
23	So I will first refer to back to the Chairperson's
24	update and the Start-Up and Administration Subcommittee
25	to remind everyone that staffing support, attorney -16-

1 support from retired annuitants, and also any civil 2 service positions we could fill or inter-agency 3 agreements we can make is very much on the table and 4 being pursued. 5 In addition, this subcommittee has followed up on the -- on the requirement in the statute for the office 6 7 of the Attorney General to provide support. I formally 8 requested staffing support from the office of the 9 Attorney General for rulemaking activities, including staff and resources for informational hearings. 10 11 The Attorney General's Office and we -- the 12 Regulation Subcommittee have a meeting coming up soon to 13 discuss this further with the office of the Attorney 14 General. And we will, of course, report what we are able 15 to work out with them in -- in the next meeting. 16 I will now hand the presentation over to Ms. de la 17 Torre, who will outline our findings and the initial 18 course of action that we are advising the board take. 19 You're on mute, Ms. --20 BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: Apologies. I was mute. 21 So you might want to move to the next person -- next 22 slide. So before we go into the details on the slide, I 2.3 also wanted to remind the board of the conversations we 24 had and how we interpreted those conversations in terms 25 of the goals that we set for ourselves while preparing -171 this proposal.

2	So our goals and I we understand those were
3	the priorities outlined by the board on June 14th were
4	to, first of all, accelerate the rulemaking process while
5	ensuring transparency, accountability, and compliance
6	with our requirements, which include Bagley-Keene. We
7	aim at structuring the work so that all members of the
8	board were able to participate in the rulemaking process
9	in a meaningful way.
10	We aim at aligning the assignments of work with what
11	we understand to be the skills and expertise that each
12	one of us brings to the board. We also try to distribute
13	the work as evenly as possible. This was very
14	challenging because, given the requirements that apply to
15	us, they the subcommittees that we are proposing have
16	to really work independently, meaning they cannot
17	communicate with each other.
18	So that mean that meant to us we distributed the
19	work that the piece of work assigned to each
20	subcommittee had to be basically independent or
21	sufficiently isolated from the other kinds of work
22	assigned to different committees to enable that
23	compliance with Bagley-Keene. And I think that there's
24	one subcommittee that actually has possibly a a a
25	higher burden in terms of the assignment, but we just $-18-$

1 || couldn't find a way to -- to avoid that.

2 We also wanted to ensure that we were able to 3 solicit broad public participation immediately, to gather 4 input from the public as soon as possible, before even starting to draft our initial version of the rules. 5 In order to enable the public to provide meaningful and 6 7 impactful comments, we aim at identifying the different areas where feedback was most needed and provide tools 8 9 and teach to help the public file these comments in an 10 effective way.

11 The high-level approach that we followed to that 12 idea of soliciting public comments that were more needed 13 was to highlight for the public those topics that are 14 completely new because they don't exist under CCPA or 15 those areas of CCPA that have been substantially changed. 16 There's a substantial record already in place that was 17 generated by the Attorney General when they went through 18 the CCPA rulemaking process. And we can benefit from 19 that public record in terms of understanding the feedback 20 of the public.

So we felt that the new areas were more important in terms of us understanding what are the preferences of the -- the public. Finally, we wanted to ensure that subcommittees were able to gather information they needed and call on experts or interested parties during public -191 linformational gathering meetings before we draft initial
2 version of the rules.

This will give us more flexibility and enable to the 3 4 kinds of conversations that we might want to engage in so 5 that we are well-informed before we put together the first drafting of the rules. In terms of challenges on 6 7 strategy, so one big challenge was ensuring compliance with Bagley-Keene, because that required us to create 8 9 subcommittees that could function independently without 10 sharing information.

11 To address this challenge, what we have done is we 12 separated the work of the subcommittees into new 13 rulemaking, update of existing rules, and then a 14 subcommittee that is essential that deals with the 15 process of rulemaking. And we will talk a little bit 16 more in detail about this, and we're happy to also answer 17 any questions that the members of the board might have. 18 One of the challenges is that when we review the 19 rulemaking -- the -- the sections of the statute that 20 talk about rulemaking -- and there's several sections. 21 Some of them are not necessarily where you will expect it 22 to be, but when we review those sections, we identify 2.3 that there were areas where there's a mandate to issue rules, but there are also areas where we're enabled to 24 25 issues rules, and we have to account for conversations -20within the board to consider those areas and determine which ones of those areas that are allowable, we want to engage in, and versus which are the ones where maybe it's not the right time to start a rulemaking process.

5 The other challenges -- challenge that we face is the volume of work ahead. I think this was highlighted 6 7 in the prior meeting, but it is expected that the current 8 rules are basically one third of the final rules that we 9 will have. So we are going to increase the volume of 10 what are already substantial rules of potentially by two 11 That's a lot of work. We look for ways to thirds. maximize efficiency to deal with this volume of work. 12 13 One of the strategies that we use for this is, for 14 example, thinking about using existing records of public 15 comments and responses gathered as opposed to generating 16 necessarily a new record on the same -- on the same topics; it -- it was not needed. So basically, what I'm 17 18 saying, in -- in a nutshell, is we want to maximize the 19 great work that the AG put together and benefit from that 20 as much as possible.

In terms of work distribution, we did ensure that after -- you know, if our proposal is approved, that all members of the board will be serving in two different subcommittees. And I think that in a way that will even itself out. Some subcommittees, I think, are going to -211 maybe have a heavier load, particularly at the beginning 2 of the rulemaking process. But like I said, it was 3 really difficult to -- to avoid that.

In terms of public participation, we tried to tackle that challenge. And -- and I'm looking forward to the presentation of the third subcommittee because, you know, with the limitations that we're having in meetings and gatherings, and this situation with COVID is -- is really difficult to -- to think about different venues to enable this public participation.

11 But one thing that we did is we tried to, in our 12 request for -- for comments, first of all, we don't --13 not use legalese and outline of topics in -- in logical 14 manner, in as clear language as we could, you know, move 15 all of those citations to footnotes so that, you know, 16 regular people can read them and understand and decide 17 whether the topics that are most interest to them and if 18 the document can be accessible.

We also decided that it might be helpful to create a (indiscernible) tips document for the members of the public to -- to understand how they can best draft effective comments.

23 So the proposed action first is -- is right here. 24 Summarize it. The first thing is we will ask the board 25 to authorize the immediate commencement of pre-rulemaking

1	activities. This means that we will like to issue an
2	invitation for comments, and we will also like to start
3	working in identifying topics for informational hearings.
4	We we have another slide that talks about suggested
5	topics.
6	The second main point is that we are asking the
7	board to approve the creation of three additional
8	subcommittees. The first subcommittee will take over
9	adopting and basically redlining the existing CCPA rules
10	to align them with CPRA requirements.
11	The second subcommittee will take over creating,
12	basically, rules from scratch. These are for topics that
13	are not addressed currently in CCPA and therefore they
14	are not in the CCPA rules. This committee basically
15	doesn't have a record to refer back to because these
16	going to be dealing with new items.
17	The third subcommittee is the rulemaking process
18	subcommittee. And that subcommittee will be responsible
19	for helping us get through the rulemaking process. One
20	of the initial things that we anticipate we will be
21	doing, if the proposal is approved, is to start
22	informational hearings. The rulemaking process
23	subcommittee will take the input from the other
24	subcommittees in terms of the topics and then will work
25	independently to enable us to put together a good a -23-

1 a -- panels that include the expertise that is desire or 2 require in order to provide the feedback that the CCPA 3 rule and the subcommittee and the new CPRA rule 4 subcommittee deem necessary.

5 As a note, we also proposed that the current Regulation Subcommittee will dissolve September 17. 6 Ι 7 will Jennifer very much because I get to talk with her every day. But we need a little extra time. The reason 8 9 we don't want to dissolve it immediately is because, like Jennifer mentioned, we have a conversation already 10 11 scheduled that needs to take place, and also -- I don't 12 think it's mentioned on the slides, but both us have 13 signed up for rulemaking school, which is a three-day 14 commitment.

And typically, you know, we have a general counsel, the general counsel should go to a school, but since we don't, we -- we're going to put ourselves through school so that we better understand the process better and are able to -- to -- to guide the subcommittees and ensure that we comply with it.

In terms of hiring a staff, we have the -- the bullet point there, but I really would like to refer you to the discussion that we already had. And we are hoping that -- at least, my personal hope is that the conversation with the AG will result in some form of -24-

1	media support for the subcommittees, maybe an attorney
2	part-time, but we cannot promise that a conversation
3	is is still to be had. But we're we're aiming at
4	having one person as lay support each one of the
5	subcommittees, even if it is on part-time basis.
6	Do we move to the next slide? Jennifer, is there
7	something else?
8	Okay. So in terms of the proposed subdivision of
9	work, I want to say that no, I I actually, I love
10	being an attorney. I love reading laws. And I am good
11	at past laws. But this was this looks so easy, but it
12	was so difficult. And I will really encourage the
13	the the members of the board to look at the
14	supplementary materials, because those are the ones that
15	actually for each one of these subcommittees
16	identified as subsection of the law and the topic and a
17	summary of the topics assigned to which subcommittee.
18	But at a high level, we're talking about is that the
19	new CCPA subcommittee will deal with cyber security, all
20	the risk assessments, all the decision-making, and then
21	the agency or they prefer these are things that are not
22	in the current CCPA rule.
23	We are proposing that member Le and myself will
24	serve in that subcommittee but obviously, we're open to
25	feedback from the other members of the board. I can $-25-$

1 mention initially, we tried to align the expertise of the 2 different members of the board with the assignments the 3 best that we -- the best that we could.

4 The second subcommittee will be the update of CCPA 5 rules subcommittee. And that is a really short list. Ι mean, the -- the list is really long in reality when you 6 7 look at the -- at the supplemental materials. So everything that has to do with just changing the system 8 9 rules, including the up tile (ph.) requirements and the 10 preference signal accessibility -- there's a new right, 11 which is the right to correct. That -- that was 12 something that the update of CCPA rules subcommittee will 13 handle as well. We are proposing that Chair Urban and 14 Member Sierra will serve in that subcommittee. 15 The last subcommittee, which is an essential 16 subcommittee, will actually help coordinate it to be pre-17 rulemaking and rulemaking activities. This means the 18 informational hearings, collection of documents, et 19 cetera. It also has to make recommendations on the topic

20 of whether we need to issue rules in regards to insurance 21 companies. There's a section of CPRA that states that we 22 need to look into how insurance companies are regulated 23 currently and see if there is like a -- between data 24 relation and what CPRA provides.

And if there is a gap, we need to issue rules. But

25

-26-

1	that starts with the process of just obtaining a legal
2	opinion. So the rulemaking process subcommittee will
3	help us with that. And you can see their other tasks. I
4	will serve in that committee, if the proposal is
5	approved, together with member Tom Chung (ph.).
6	We want to move to the next slide? Right.
7	So the invitation for comments is actually drafted.
8	We it has been provided, I think, a week ago for the
9	members to to take a look at. It aligns with what I
10	was just mentioning where we're really highlighting in
11	the same order the new things and the things that have
12	substantially changed. We're trying to use accessible
13	language.
14	In terms of informational hearings we might want
15	to move to the next slide, Jennifer.
16	In terms of informational hearings, we have here a
17	list of suggested topics. This is just things that we
18	came up with. They're you know, in the same in the
19	same mind frame that we just mentioned. They're either
20	things that are new or things that are substantially
21	changing, but our expectation is that if our proposal is
22	approved, the subcommittees start meeting, and they will
23	come to the next board meeting prepared to give us a a
24	list of what are the topics that they deem more important
25	in terms of conducting these informational hearings. -27-

1	We wouldn't have time for infinite number of
2	informational hearings, but being realistic, I think that
3	we might be able to do three or four, so we're going to
4	have to be very strategic in terms of selecting the
5	topics that are more more needed.
6	Other than this, anything more is there anything
7	more, Jennifer, that I'm missing?
8	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Thank you, Ms. de la Torre. Thank
9	you for the thorough description.
10	I would only add that with regard to the the
11	invitation for comments, we the subcommittee has
12	secured resources to issue that and to accept comments.
13	Things are still being built, but they're very close.
14	And we would like to issue that as soon as possible in
15	order to give the public time to absorb it and respond to
16	the comments. We propose a forty-five-day comment period
17	after some research, and we mainly would like to be able
18	to go to public and start to receive information from
19	them.
20	BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: Perhaps we can move to
21	the next slide, which is just a summary with this graphic
22	representation of the rulemaking process. I find a
23	graphic recommendations very helpful. And then
24	CHAIRMAN URBAN: (Indiscernible).
25	<b>BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE:</b> Right. And then maybe we -28-

1 can open it for comments by either members of the board 2 and feedback.

CHAIRMAN URBAN: All right. So this is a summary of 3 4 recommendations. We have some draft timelines in here, 5 understanding that the subcommittees are going to be reporting, and, of course, the process subcommittee will 6 7 have a critical responsibility here, but we were trying 8 to count back and figure out sort of what some rough 9 timelines are. That is the end of the presentation. Ι 10 will stop sharing it, but we can bring it back up again 11 should anybody like. And --

BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: One thing that I was going to mention, Jennifer -- I know member Thomas asked for a overview of what the AG had needed in terms of resources. And I actually found my notes on that, so I'm happy to read from those if -- if that is helpful.

17 CHAIRMAN URBAN: I think a -- a -- a rough estimate 18 would be appropriate. We don't have an -- we don't have 19 detailed information.

BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: Right. Right. We don't have details. And to be honest, I think that we will need more resources than the AG for two reasons. Number one is we have more rules. But number two is our process is more complex because we created subcommittee, so everything's going to have to come, while they didn't -29-

1	even don't even have to through those steps.
2	But one thing that I can share from what the AG
3	shared with us is that it is clear to us that they
4	that the the need for resources increased over time,
5	meaning the preliminary activities might need just one
6	full-time person and some support, versus at the end when
7	you're talking assembling the final rulemaking package
8	and updating approval, we might, if we are in apposition
9	to do so, have several attorneys who are legally trained
10	staff engage in helping us do that.
11	And the initial drafting and the creation of the
12	nopah (ph.), that also will also be a point where we will
13	start needing more staff. We were advised to look for,
14	perhaps, software solutions to help us track comments.
15	We are apparently, there is, like, no off-the-shelf
16	package that we that we can buy to do these, but it is
17	really, really, involved because every comment that is
18	filed, we're going to have to identify which pieces go to
19	which rules and answer each comment, not if we have
20	the same comment made by multiple people, we don't have
21	answer it multiple times.
22	Literally, that that just requires a lot of very
23	detailed work, reviewing and creating this this
24	package. And the last thing that I want to remind
25	everybody, which the AG also reminded us, is that we are
	-30-

not the only agency that is involved in the rulemaking
process. We cannot necessarily anticipate the time that
other agencies that will need to provide approvals or
participate in the process may need, so we are hoping to
have the public understand that that's that's the
case, that we we don't fully control the timeline
here.
CHAIRMAN URBAN: Thank you, Ms. de la Torre.
Comments and questions from board members on our
proposed course of action for any of the documents?
Mr. Le?
BOARD MEMBER LE: Yeah. I appreciate the work you
all have done on this. You know, I I think the
recommendation is to do a a rulemaking pretty quickly,
but I think and and part of it is I believe it
says that we would have the text of regulations ready
by by winter. I I just don't think that's that
feasible. We can have some in in my in my
opinion, what what wakes makes more sense is kind
of what the CPCU does, right. There's that initial
scoping memo that talks about all of the issues that need
to be talked about. You know, and then there's
preliminary thoughts in that scoping memo that you get
comment on.

1	So for example, I'm assigned to the Cyber Security Audit
2	Committee. The initial regulation or the initial
3	comment period would talk about, okay, what should be in
4	the scope of an audit and what are the processes in which
5	to ensure that the audit is thorough and independent.
6	Staff, a or the subcommittee would put some ideas out
7	there, but we wouldn't have actual language of the
8	regulation yet because that would have us creating from
9	whole cloth at least for our the new rules just brand
10	new rules that we don't actually have anybody's thoughts
11	on.
12	And then after we get those comments, you know,
13	they're all arranged by question, then staff comes in,
14	they they draft proposed rules. And then there's a
15	proposed decision that comes out and then you get
16	comments on that exact on the exact language of the
17	the proposed regulations, and then there's a final
18	decision. So I think there has to be at least two two
19	rulemakings, at least for the new rules subcommittee, so
20	that we have some material to work with in in creating
21	these new regulations.
22	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Thank you, Mr. Le.
23	So would you advise that the subcommittees prepare
24	scoping information for each of their topics? And and
25	forgive me, we we are going to rule school next week, -32-

1 but is the CPUC process -- I mean, I like this -- I like 2 the substance of the idea. Is the CPUC process a formalized process, like the final rules, or is it 3 4 something that falls under the guise of preliminary 5 activities? BOARD MEMBER LE: Yeah. It -- it's a pretty --6 7 and -- and Chris, you may have some thoughts on this. 8 Yeah, it's a pretty well-defined process. It's all in 9 the rules of practice and procedure for the CPUC. 10 Thirty-day comment period. Fifteen-day reply period --11 or ten-day, I believe. And then there's administrative 12 law judges. So it's a pretty -- it's a pretty -- I don't 13 want -- I don't think we got to adopt the whole thing, 14 but I think the -- the idea of having these phased 15 comment periods to create the record, to create the 16 regulations makes a lot of sense. 17 CHAIRMAN URBAN: Thank you, Mr. Le. 18 Ms. -- Ms. de la Torre? 19 BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: I was going to mention 20 that one thing that comes to mind is that we might 21 want -- I mean, the idea that Jennifer and I really had 22 was to leave some of these details to be defined by the 23 subcommittees independently. The -- the process -- we --24 we have a process that we have to follow because it's --25 it's mandated by statute. We -- we're going to have to -331 follow that for all of the rules. But the idea, for
2 example, of generating a memo on getting that initial
3 feedback might be really, really helpful for the new
4 rules subcommittee but might have less impact in terms of
5 the work of the CCPA update subcommittee.

6 So I -- my suggestion is that whatever the decision 7 is taken in terms of the things that are not mandatory 8 should be left to the subcommittees to -- to design so 9 that the process is designed in a way that better serves 10 their needs.

11 CHAIRMAN URBAN: I would add that we very much 12 recognize how aggressive the schedule is. It is --13 was -- the draft -- I mean, the sort of rough timeline, 14 it was produced by counting back from our statutory 15 deadline. And we can certainly explore options for 16 managing that, but that's -- that's where -- that's where 17 the sort of rough timeline came from. There's a process 18 with the Office of Administrative Law that takes a 19 certain amount of time, and there are all the required 20 parts of the formal rulemaking process. 21 We also have to give our notice that we will be

22 taking on authority to pass the rules to the Attorney 23 General, but we don't have to do that quite yet. We will 24 just have to consider it pretty quickly.

25 Other comments and thoughts from the board members?

-34-

1

Yes, Mr. Le?

BOARD MEMBER LE: Yeah. I'd hate to ask this, but, you know, is there any way to push back that pretty concrete-sounding deadline of July 1st, 2022? Yeah, because it makes sense, counting back, having two fortyfive-day rulemakings is going to be tough, and just without staff to draft the regulations this is -- is going to be really difficult.

9 CHAIRMAN URBAN: Thank you, Mr. Le. There -- there 10 are options. One option would be to request that the 11 legislature revise the deadline. Anything the 12 legislature does would have to comport with the purposes 13 of the statute, essentially, to protect Californians' 14 privacy. I know you know this Mr. Le; I'm just finishing 15 the whole thought all the way through. There is another 16 option, which is the legislature does designate some 17 regulations as what are called emergency regulations. 18 Emergency regulations follow a slightly different 19 timeline. They go to the Office of Administrative Law on 20 a very short timeline and then go into effect. And 21 when -- then -- they go into effect provisionally. And 22 then the formalized process continues. So they're sort 23 of temporary regulations. There's -- there -- it's also 24 completely allowed to do all of the preliminary 25 information gathering in order to have high -- you know, -351 high-quality regulations, but it changes the timeline to
2 some degree.

There are obviously tradeoffs. There's -- there's 3 4 the -- the -- there's the question of what it would mean 5 to have this sort of emergency temporary regulations. We could address that, of course, to some degree by making 6 7 sure that we really have input in advance. There are also other timelines in the statute or other deadlines, 8 9 for example, when the regulations take effect and 10 consumers and businesses need to follow them, and 11 enforcement. And so all of that would have to be taken 12 into account. But those are two potential options in 13 addition to what Ms. de la Torre and I are proposing to 14 meet the existing deadline.

15 BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: I -- I just wanted to --16 to mention that, you know, from my point of view, I think 17 it's also important to consider that we have to allow 18 time for the organizations that are going to be subject 19 to these rules to actually implement the mandate. And 20 the -- you know, there's this alternatives that the Chair 21 just highlighted that really required us to have a 22 conversation the second (indiscernible) changed the law. 2.3 But from my point of view, there are more simple solutions that we could consider if we are not able to 24 25 have final rules by the deadline. We could consider -36-
1	giving grace period for enforcement, which will enable
2	the organizations that are going to have to comply with
3	these to have some time where they can adapt their
4	practices to the requirements, and while being confident
5	that they are not going to be subject to enforcement when
6	they didn't really have a realistic kind of ramp-up
7	period to to implement the requirements.
8	So that is the more that that's completely on
9	our agency to decide as a policy, as opposed to us
10	wishing that will require us to go to Sacramento and
11	implement the latest change.
12	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Thank you, Ms. de la Torre.
13	I'll just amplify the point of the the nested for
14	the sorry, not nested, but subsequent deadlines which
15	are all connected.
16	Mr. Thompson?
17	BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON: Thank you, Chairperson
18	Urban. And and thank you to both of you for this
19	presentation is well thought out, well laid out and
20	and good really good work, so I'm appreciate how
21	much effort and clarity of thought is is demonstrated
22	here.
23	You know, I think a lot of your proposed course of
24	action makes sense. The subcommittees make sense. I
25	a couple of a couple thoughts and a couple of $-37-$

1	questions. I think and they are similar to what Board
2	Member Le said, you know, us looking at options on the
3	deadline, because I I'm concerned that we're need
4	to we're going to hit a fork in the road and need to
5	make a decision on on how we're proceeding there.
6	The Attorney General staffing level was alluded to,
7	but I'm not sure that kind of where we landed on
8	that that discussion, because what I heard was is that
9	we're going to need more than they had or have. I don't
10	know what they have. And then what's it going to take
11	for us to get to more than they have
12	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Right.
13	BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON: in terms of time. You
14	mentioned the rules school, and I have a question on
15	whether or not all of us should go and whether or not we
16	can all go to the same thing, under Bagley-Keene, and
17	can can we have a quorum attending the same class.
18	CHAIRMAN URBAN: I think the last bit, just because
19	it's quick, if if anybody who wants to go rules
20	school, very much encouraged. We can only go in pairs in
21	our (indiscernible). And they they do offer it
22	where when they offer it. So but but if we can
23	work it out and there is appetite from members to go to
24	rules school, it's definitely encouraged.
25	<b>BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON:</b> Okay. Well, it definitely -38-

1 makes sense for it to be forbidden for all of us to learn 2 simultaneously; that would be -- can we have an informational hearing with the rules school presenters? 3 4 CHAIRMAN URBAN: We -- we could. We could do a 5 publicly noticed meeting if -- if they were able to do They have the schedule that -- it's a very 6 it. 7 efficiently run organization with not very many staff, so 8 they have a -- they have a sort of sequence that they --9 that they follow and school that they offer when they 10 offer it. But I think, you know, we can explore various 11 options. BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: Right. And I believe 12 13 that once we are able to onboard a general counsel, this 14 should be something to consider. The general counsel 15 doesn't -- I mean, we might be lucky enough to onboard a 16 general counsel that doesn't need that kind of training, 17 but we really need somebody to ask questions from more 18 than, you know, having the information ourselves; it 19 might be more efficient, but definitely we can -- we will 20 report back in the next meeting about the experiences. 21 It's a three-day commitment, but anybody who wants to 22 participate in it should be welcome to do so. 2.3 CHAIRMAN URBAN: Yes, they do have to accept you. 24 There's a process, but we can -- and we've heard great 25 things about it. So, you know ---39-

1	<b>BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE:</b> But if everybody has
2	for example, they were asking and I believe we all do
3	maybe it will be a good idea to just any board member
4	that thinks they will be interested just send her an
5	email to let her know and we can work from there,
6	Jennifer?
7	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Yes, please do send an email to Ms.
8	Castanon so she track the the logistics.
9	BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON: I'm sorry. Who is
10	administered by whom the rules school?
11	CHAIRMAN URBAN: It's the Office of Administrative
12	Law
13	BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON: Okay.
14	CHAIRMAN URBAN: which is the regulating agency
15	that regulates our regulatory process.
16	BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: They they will have to
17	approve our final version of the rules before they go
18	into enforcement.
19	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Yes.
20	BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON: Sure.
21	CHAIRMAN URBAN: And they also have some good
22	information on their website that isn't the concentrated
23	rules school.
24	BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON: And so it's three days
25	each is three full days?
	-40-

1	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Three full days, uh-huh.
2	BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON: So more than six hours?
3	BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: Yes.
4	CHAIRMAN URBAN: I think it ends I think it's
5	(indiscernible) 5. Yeah. No. Mr. Thompson, I I
6	thought that was going to be quick, so I apologize. Did
7	you have more that you wanted to cover?
8	BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON: Yeah. The well, and what
9	Vinhcent mentioned about the CPUC process, you know, I
10	think this is something that's that the process
11	subcommittee can look at, but it bleeds into the earlier
12	comment about our organizational model and how we're
13	thinking about things.
14	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Uh-huh.
14 15	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Uh-huh. BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON: Because those are well
15	BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON: Because those are well
15 16	<b>BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON:</b> Because those are well well-documented and well-established processes, both for
15 16 17	<b>BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON:</b> Because those are well well-documented and well-established processes, both for their investigations and their rulemakings that that
15 16 17 18	<b>BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON:</b> Because those are well well-documented and well-established processes, both for their investigations and their rulemakings that that we should learn more about. And I, you know, happy to
15 16 17 18 19	BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON: Because those are well well-documented and well-established processes, both for their investigations and their rulemakings that that we should learn more about. And I, you know, happy to take that up in the subcommittee with with some
15 16 17 18 19 20	BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON: Because those are well well-documented and well-established processes, both for their investigations and their rulemakings that that we should learn more about. And I, you know, happy to take that up in the subcommittee with with some recommendations from what that agency and other agencies
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON: Because those are well well-documented and well-established processes, both for their investigations and their rulemakings that that we should learn more about. And I, you know, happy to take that up in the subcommittee with with some recommendations from what that agency and other agencies do.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON: Because those are well well-documented and well-established processes, both for their investigations and their rulemakings that that we should learn more about. And I, you know, happy to take that up in the subcommittee with with some recommendations from what that agency and other agencies do. But I I do think that will also start to

1	similarities in their administrative law and enforcement
2	functions and their policy-making functions. So I
3	thought that point was was well made. So to go back
4	to one is the AG's staffing level.
5	Was there something on the number of and types of
6	folks that could be shared in in this meeting for our
7	information?
8	BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: Right.
9	BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON: Because that that then
10	flows into the point about the deadline for rulemaking.
11	CHAIRMAN URBAN: We we do (indiscernible)
12	exact numbers. The the range is approximately ten
13	BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: That was the end of the
14	process.
15	CHAIRMAN URBAN: at the towards the end of the
16	process. Some that was people working pretty much
17	full-time. You know, there's part people are devoting
18	some portion of their time at various levels. The
19	Attorney General's office, of course, also has a full
20	panoply of support services and technical services and
21	all of those things, which is not to say we won't have
22	those things. We're working hard to have those things.
23	It's only to say that we do have to keep in mind the
24	the dual building the (indiscernible). We're building
25	the
	-42-

1

## BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON: Right.

2	CHAIRMAN URBAN: we're building the capacity
3	while we're while we're creating the rules. So, you
4	know, if, for example, we're able to hire some retired
5	annuitants with their experience with rulemaking, they
6	can only work part-time, we have to think about how we
7	will be able to build and allocate those resources.
8	BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. That
9	helps just give a sense of so ten people, of whom a
10	certain number were attorneys, plus support that was
11	existing in the agency in a way that we don't yet have.
12	So add add some some multiplier for the support
13	services that they were getting; is that a fair way of
14	thinking about it?
15	CHAIRMAN URBAN: I think so.
16	BOARD MEMBER SIERRA: Right.
17	CHAIRMAN URBAN: It's very approximate, but, you
18	know, if we're thinking about are we talking about two
19	people, are we talking about twenty people? You know, it
20	does give us a kind of a picture
21	BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON: Yeah.
22	CHAIRMAN URBAN: (indiscernible).
23	BOARD MEMBER SIERRA: And I think that I was
24	really encouraged by the information shared by the Start-
25	Up committee about the possibility of bringing in retired $-43-$

1	people who are very experienced, because the one thing
2	that we're going to have to consider is that this is not
3	a permanent need of the agency. This is a need right now
4	because we have to do rulemaking, but those staff
5	positions will have to dedicate their time to something
6	else once we're done with the rulemaking. So it might be
7	an ideal fit for somebody who has the experience and
8	comes for a limited-time engagement.
9	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Thank you, Ms. Sierra.
10	BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON: Sorry. Just so that
11	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Final point for Mr. Thompson and
12	then
13	BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON: Sorry.
14	CHAIRMAN URBAN: (indiscernible).
15	BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON: My final point, which is
16	about the deadline, because I had a similar reaction
17	that that Vinhcent did that you know, I look at how
18	much time we have left and I look at what we need to do
19	and the timeframes for the informational hearings, and
20	there was something else in the winter/spring of of
21	2021/2022, my back of the envelope from the last meeting
22	was that we needed to have draft rules around January or
23	February of next year to have final rules by July.
24	That might have been a little conservative on my
25	
	part, but I I would worry, if we're still gathering -44-

1	information in the spring, how we're going to get to
2	final rules in the in by July 1st. And we might
3	want to set a deadline for ourselves of when we're going
4	to make a determinate what are the milestones that we
5	need to have hit to feel confident we're going to get to
6	July 1st and have an offramp if, maybe January of '22, we
7	can make a determination as a board, we don't think we're
8	going to make it. So because we have to give the
9	legislature time to act and consider a request if that is
10	the the course of action. I don't mean to be negative
11	this far out, but it is
12	CHAIRMAN URBAN: No, we need
13	BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON: a daunting task.
14	CHAIRMAN URBAN: No, we need contingency plans.
14 15	CHAIRMAN URBAN: No, we need contingency plans. There's no there's no question. This makes perfect
15	There's no there's no question. This makes perfect
15 16	There's no there's no question. This makes perfect sense to me. Our proposal is to try to start
15 16 17	There's no there's no question. This makes perfect sense to me. Our proposal is to try to start informational hearings asap. You know, I mean, there's
15 16 17 18	There's no there's no question. This makes perfect sense to me. Our proposal is to try to start informational hearings asap. You know, I mean, there's just the question of practically how quickly
15 16 17 18 19	There's no there's no question. This makes perfect sense to me. Our proposal is to try to start informational hearings asap. You know, I mean, there's just the question of practically how quickly BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON: Yeah.
15 16 17 18 19 20	There's no there's no question. This makes perfect sense to me. Our proposal is to try to start informational hearings asap. You know, I mean, there's just the question of practically how quickly BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON: Yeah. CHAIRMAN URBAN: we can do that. And we can do
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	There's no there's no question. This makes perfect sense to me. Our proposal is to try to start informational hearings asap. You know, I mean, there's just the question of practically how quickly BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON: Yeah. CHAIRMAN URBAN: we can do that. And we can do it in an efficient and meaningly way where we're covering
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	There's no there's no question. This makes perfect sense to me. Our proposal is to try to start informational hearings asap. You know, I mean, there's just the question of practically how quickly BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON: Yeah. CHAIRMAN URBAN: we can do that. And we can do it in an efficient and meaningly way where we're covering topics that are are topics that will provide the most
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	There's no there's no question. This makes perfect sense to me. Our proposal is to try to start informational hearings asap. You know, I mean, there's just the question of practically how quickly BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON: Yeah. CHAIRMAN URBAN: we can do that. And we can do it in an efficient and meaningly way where we're covering topics that are are topics that will provide the most use

1 BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON: But then that goes back to 2 needing the people to step --3 CHAIRMAN URBAN: That's right. 4 BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON: We need people to staff 5 those hearings and to help us substantively --CHAIRMAN URBAN: Correct. 6 7 BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON: -- in the hearings in 8 addition to administratively. Okay. Thank you. 9 CHAIRMAN URBAN: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Thompson. 10 Ms. Sierra. 11 Yes. Just, first of all, I BOARD MEMBER SIERRA: 12 really appreciate all the work that went into this. This 13 is really substantive and really very, very helpful, so 14 thank you. I think the approach, you know, in this 15 discussion and everything makes a lot of sense to me as 16 well. I had more of a logistics question on the 17 informational hearings. 18 Are they going to be board hearings that we can all attend, or will it be different subcommittees will be 19 20 just convening for different informational hearings, or 21 is that something that we just don't need to decide? 22 BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: So -- so one thing that 23 we have during the pre-rulemaking process is a lot of 24 flexibility. 25 BOARD MEMBER SIERRA: Uh-huh. -46-

1 BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: So we will encourage the 2 subcommittees to reach out on their own and have conversations with different agencies or different 3 4 experts that they want to get particular feedback from. 5 BOARD MEMBER SIERRA: Uh-huh. BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: That can be done without 6 7 an open hearing. 8 BOARD MEMBER SIERRA: Right. 9 BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: But in addition to that, 10 which we will leave really to the decision of the 11 individual subcommittee, we think it will be helpful to 12 create some kind of public informational hearing. We 13 don't know -- you know, I think realistically we cannot 14 have more than four, so we have to be very strategic 15 about that. The advantage of having those right now 16 before the record opens is that we are going to have more 17 flexibility in terms of engaging in a conversation. 18 Once the record opens 00 you know, we were at a --19 we were at a call with the AG. And one of the AGs 20 mentioned that during the CCPA rulemaking process, he was 21 at a baseball game and somebody who was, you know, a 22 friend started to talk to him about the rules and he had 2.3 to say, you can't talk to me about the rules. You have 24 to file this. 25 So that's the kind of transparency that is there for -47-

1	a for a very good reason, but we're going to have to
2	account for once the formal process is start, so that the
3	informational hearings and the informal process should be
4	utilized for to help the board members form their own
5	mind as to, you know, where should we go with the initial
6	version of the rules. Definitely once they're published
7	we'll have all of the public comments, and that's
8	information that we have to absorb and use to adopt the
9	initial version of the rules as we as we consider it
10	appropriate. But I hope that answer your question.
11	BOARD MEMBER SIERRA: Thank you. No, that is very
12	helpful. And I guess with the additional part of it is
13	will we all be able to attend or because of Bagley-Keene,
14	we will only be able to do this in groups of twos?
15	BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: Jennifer probably can
16	answer that better than me, but my understanding is that
17	so long as it's in the agenda and it's properly noticed,
18	we could we could, all of us, attend.
19	Jennifer, is that correct?
20	CHAIRMAN URBAN: That is my understanding. I won't
21	ask Mr. Phillips is he can pause in case he wants to
22	correct us, but my understanding is if it's properly
23	noticed ten days ahead, we can treat it like a public
24	meeting and all board members can attend.
25	BOARD MEMBER SIERRA: Great.
	- 48 -

1	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Mr. Phillips is nodding. Thank
2	you, Mr. Phillips, for
3	MR. PHILLIPS: Yeah. Thank you, Jen. But you
4	can you can also slice it up different ways. If you
5	wanted to have just a committee hold a hearing, you can
6	do that and not have the formal notice requirements of
7	Bagley-Keene as well. As long as you don't have a
8	quorum, you don't have notice requirements.
9	BOARD MEMBER SIERRA: Okay. So we have a lot of
10	flexibility.
11	CHAIRMAN URBAN: We do have the constraint of
12	resources for this, so we'll have to keep all of that in
13	mind, but we do have flexibility in how we organize it.
14	BOARD MEMBER SIERRA: Thank you.
15	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Other comments, questions?
16	Yes, Mr. Le.
17	BOARD MEMBER LE: Yeah. So how you know, these
18	informational hearings and we'll talk about it a
19	little bit in our subcommittee report. You know, most of
20	the substantive stuff comes in as written comments. And
21	you you mentioned about getting a platform. Is are
22	we just going to do emails, like ask folks to email us,
23	or is there any plan to get a a platform for for us
24	to receive comments?
25	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Thank you for the question. And I -49-

1	apologize for again, gauging detail. I gauged I
2	gauged wrong there. The folks at the Department of
3	Consumer Affairs, who are providing us with IT services,
4	are working with Ms. Castanon to create the facility on
5	our website for us to issue the invitation for comments
6	and for people to respond. That may be via forum, it may
7	via an email address, like regulations at CCPA.gov, but
8	it will be a standard approach to having people submit
9	written comments. In a second
10	BOARD MEMBER LE: Thank you.
11	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Thank you, Mr. Le. I do want to
12	I realize, Ms. de la Torre, we did gloss over a little
13	bit what we do when we have the comments. And for the
14	sort of full picture for the board, we we do have the
15	facility to collect the comments. One of the things that
16	we are actively pursuing resources for is the ability to
17	redact them, because we will want to make them public.
18	And we will be I think, board members can speak with
19	Ms. Castanon about how they prefer to proceed.
20	If one wants to access them on the state in the
21	state repository, for security reasons, they do have to
22	use state-owned laptops, but there's also the possibility
23	for subcommittees to wait until the material is redacted
24	and made public. And I think that's really up to the
25	subcommittee.
	-50-

1	Further comments or questions from the Board?
2	All right. I would like to propose two actions for
3	you to think about, and then we will request public
4	comment. The first action item will be a request for a
5	motion to approve the regulation subcommittee's proposed
6	course of action for preliminary rulemaking activities,
7	including the preliminary information-gathering
8	activities we described and the formation of new
9	subcommittees as described in today's presentation.
10	And the second item is just to be safe and be sure
11	that the Board has gone on record as approving releasing
12	to the public an invitation for comments substantially in
13	the form of the discussion draft for comments review
14	today and inviting the public to respond with written
15	comments within a forty-five day period. And that
16	would as soon as technically feasible.
17	I have added substantially in the forum, because
18	that document does have discussion draft at the top, and
19	it doesn't have, you know, the email there's some
20	some little changes that would need to be made. We could
21	also first consider edits. But those are the two I
22	would action items I would like you to have in your
23	minds as we go to public comment.
24	Mr. Evan (sic) Panero, is there any public comment?
25	MR. PANERO: Thank you, Chairperson.
	-51-

1 So if anyone wishes to make a public comment, please 2 press the raised hand on your screen, or if you're 3 connected by phone, you can press \*9. 4 It looks like we have one additional comment from 5 Yadie (ph.). You have three minutes to make your comment. 6 7 UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: Hi, everyone. Thank 8 you for much for your hard work. I'm really excited for 9 you and the agency. Two pieces of suggestions for you is 10 maybe collecting feedback from consumers in regards to 11 their success in exercising their rights under the 12 current landscape to help, like, inform new and existing 13 regulations and ensuring that, you know, organizations 14 are complying with privacy laws, like, in the spirit of 15 the law sense. And the other is to the extent that's 16 feasibly possible, to consider making an option for 17 Spanish-speaking folks to engage in this process as well. 18 Thank you. 19 CHAIRMAN URBAN: Thank you very much for your 20 comment and those very helpful suggestions. Much 21 appreciated. 22 MR. PANERO: And it looks like we have one 23 additional comment from Tonya (ph.). You have three minutes --24 25 UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: Hi. Hope ---52-

1	MR. PANERO: to
2	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: Hi. I hope everyone
3	can hear me? Can you hear me?
4	MR. PANERO: Yes, thank you.
5	MS. FORTUNATE: Hi. My name is Tonya Fortunate
6	(ph.). I'm an attorney. I just wanted to note given the
7	discussion about the possibility of agency going to the
8	legislature to try to get some sort of an amendment
9	possibly. I know that's only one of the many things that
10	you're thinking about in terms of planning. But I
11	believe and I'm just throwing it out there, in case
12	others have other information just to to mention it,
13	that I think that the current legislative session in
14	California is actually closing this week, I think on the
15	10th, actually, and that presumably, then, if anything
16	was going to happen on the legislative front, that that
17	would have to wait until the 2022 legislative session,
18	which I don't think opens until January, so just to sort
19	of throw that out there based on what I know we went
20	through in 2019, when we were many people on all
21	different sides working on potential amendments to the
22	CCPA, having to sort of work around those legislative
23	schedules. I do believe they are closing this week.
24	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Thank you very much.
25	MR. PANERO: Thank you for your comment. -53-

1 I'm not seeing any other -- any additional comments 2 at this time. 3 CHAIRMAN URBAN: Thank you very much, Mr. Joseph 4 Panero, and for the comments from our public 5 commentators. 6 I would now like to request a motion to approve the 7 Regulation Subcommittee's proposed course of action for 8 preliminary rulemaking activities, including the 9 preliminary information-gathering activities described 10 and the formation of new subcommittees as described. Do I have a motion for this? 11 12 BOARD MEMBER LE: I -- I --13 BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON: So move. 14 BOARD MEMBER LE: I'll second. 15 Thank you, Mr. Thompson, for CHAIRMAN URBAN: 16 moving. 17 And thank you, Mr. Le, for seconding. 18 Mr. Joseph Panero, could you please call the roll 19 call vote? 20 MR. PANERO: Yes. Thank you. 21 Ms. de la Torre? 22 BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: Aye. 2.3 MR. PANERO: Ms. de la Torre, aye. 24 Mr. Le? 25 BOARD MEMBER LE: Aye. -54-

1	MR. PANERO: Mr. Le, aye.
2	Ms. Sierra?
3	BOARD MEMBER SIERRA: Aye.
4	MR. PANERO: Ms. Sierra, aye.
5	Mr. Thompson?
6	BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON: Aye.
7	MR. PANERO: Mr. Thompson, aye.
8	And Chairperson Urban?
9	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Aye.
10	MR. PANERO: Chairperson Urban, aye.
11	The vote is 5-0.
12	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Thank you very much.
13	The motion carries. And we will we will enact
14	the plan recommended by the Regulation Subcommittee. I
15	want to thank all the board members in advance for your
16	work on these subcommittees. I'm really looking forward
17	to hearing the plans that everyone comes up with. And I
18	really appreciate the service.
19	I would now like to request a motion to approve
20	releasing to the public an invitation for comments that
21	is substantially in the form of the discussion draft for
22	comments reviewed today and inviting the public to
23	respond with written comments within a forty-five day
24	period as soon as technically feasible.
25	Do I have a motion?
	-55-

1	BOARD MEMBER SIERRA: I so move.
2	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Thank you, Ms. Sierra.
3	Ms. Sierra moves.
4	Do I have a second?
5	BOARD MEMBER LE: I'll second.
6	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Thank you, Mr. Le.
7	I have a motion and a second.
8	Mr. Joseph Panero, would you please perform the roll
9	call vote?
10	MR. PANERO: Certainly.
11	Ms. de la Torre?
12	BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: Aye.
13	MR. PANERO: Ms. de la Torre, aye.
14	Mr. Le?
15	BOARD MEMBER LE: Aye.
16	MR. PANERO: Mr. Le, aye.
17	Ms. Sierra?
18	BOARD MEMBER SIERRA: Aye.
19	MR. PANERO: Ms. Sierra, aye.
20	Mr. Thompson?
21	BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON: Aye.
22	MR. PANERO: Mr. Thompson, aye.
23	And Chairperson Urban?
24	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Aye.
25	MR. PANERO: Thank you. The vote is 5-0.
	-56-

**CHAIRMAN URBAN:** Thank you, Mr. Joseph Panero. The motion carries.

1

2

3	I thank the Board for its substantive and efficient
4	discussion of our plan. I would also just like to
5	quickly but very sincerely thank Ms. de la Torre for the
6	work that she's put in on the Regulation Subcommittee.
7	It's been a joy. As she said, it's been a puzzle. And
8	we are grateful to everyone for the work ongoing. I will
9	also miss Ms. de la Torre, but appreciate everything that
10	she's done so far and appreciate everything that is to
11	come.
12	With that, we are at 1:25. We have the public
13	Awareness and Guidance Subcommittee update coming up, the
14	delegation of authority for limited administrative
15	functions, public comments if there are any for items not
16	on the agenda, a discussion of future agenda items, and
17	when appropriate and sensible, we need to really circle
18	back to the agenda item to discuss our planning for
19	meetings and public events.
20	Mr. Le and Mr. Thompson, are you ready to do your
21	Okay. Wonderful. In that case, we will move to
22	agenda item number 7 or excuse me, agenda item number
23	6, a report from the Public Awareness and Guidance
24	Subcommittee. The Public Awareness and Guidance
25	Subcommittee was formed to advise the board on the $-57-$

agency's duties to promote public awareness and provide guidance to consumers and businesses set out in Civil Code Section 1798.19940. The public awareness and guidance subcommittee is made up of Mr. Le and Mr. Thompson. I thank you for your service. And I will turn it over to you.

7 BOARD MEMBER LE: Okay. Thank you. Well, I wish we 8 had a great set of visual aids like the other 9 subcommittees, but we're just going to share some of our 10 recommendations and notes through the process. You know, between Chris and I, we've talked to business groups, 11 12 consumer groups, privacy groups, and the Attorney General 13 quite a bit to gather kind of some input on the best ways 14 to achieve our responsibilities of, like Chair Urban 15 said, for public awareness about right and 16 responsibilities and providing guidance to consumers and 17 businesses about their responsibilities under their 18 title.

At a high level, you know, we believe, you know, preserving privacy rights as a default, through tools like opt-in within the bounds of the CPRA, is key. That's the greatest to way to make sure that, you know, consumers know -- I mean, have their rights protected, and, you know, recognizing that the vast majority of customers take -- consumers take the path of, you know,

-58-

1 least resistance and may -- may not understand the full
2 scope of their rights.

3	And then the Attorney General has recognized this
4	with their work on the user-enabled global privacy
5	control in dark patterns. And, you know, as an
6	additional note, you know, we find that if people are
7	given a choice in a clear, easy manner, they often choose
8	to exercise their right. You know, Apple, for all their
9	recent issues on on privacy, its opt-in tracking
10	defaults, app tracking transparency has created a
11	situation where ninety persix ninety-six percent of
12	users opt-out of tracking across patterns.
13	But in terms of actual staff, I'll I'll leave it
14	to Chris to to talk about kind of our ideas for the
15	agency before coming back to to myself.
15 16	agency before coming back to to myself. BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON: Thanks, Vinhcent. You know,
16	BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON: Thanks, Vinhcent. You know,
16 17	BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON: Thanks, Vinhcent. You know, we as as Vinhcent mentioned, in particularly, we
16 17 18	BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON: Thanks, Vinhcent. You know, we as as Vinhcent mentioned, in particularly, we we benchmarked what the Attorney General's doing as far
16 17 18 19	BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON: Thanks, Vinhcent. You know, we as as Vinhcent mentioned, in particularly, we we benchmarked what the Attorney General's doing as far as their public education and outreach function. And
16 17 18 19 20	BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON: Thanks, Vinhcent. You know, we as as Vinhcent mentioned, in particularly, we we benchmarked what the Attorney General's doing as far as their public education and outreach function. And both as far as the level of staffing but also what
16 17 18 19 20 21	BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON: Thanks, Vinhcent. You know, we as as Vinhcent mentioned, in particularly, we we benchmarked what the Attorney General's doing as far as their public education and outreach function. And both as far as the level of staffing but also what functions they were performing. And so taking that
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON: Thanks, Vinhcent. You know, we as as Vinhcent mentioned, in particularly, we we benchmarked what the Attorney General's doing as far as their public education and outreach function. And both as far as the level of staffing but also what functions they were performing. And so taking that information into account, the our recommendation would

1 the staffing level that the Attorney General's Office
2 had.

This is something that was mentioned in the 3 4 Regulation Subcommittee, so it -- it was almost 5 foreshadowing our report, but the importance of accurately communicating what legal requirements are in 6 7 plainly understandable language so that consumers and others can understand what their rights and obligations 8 9 are, but in an accessible way that doesn't require a -attorneys or legal expertise to interpret. 10

11 One of the things that was really critical to the --12 the way that the Attorney General's office executed this 13 was an interplay between the -- the privacy education and 14 outreach function and their enforcement in legal 15 operations to ensure that the outreach and education 16 function was not getting too far -- was not getting ahead 17 of or binding the enforcement and -- and legal teams. 18 So, you know, work that they were -- data that they 19 were aggregating, reports that they were putting out, 20 that there were not putting the enforcement folks in a 21 position where they had given guidance to the public or 22 to businesses that had the potential to conflict with 2.3 enforcement actions down the road. And our understanding 24 is that they did that guite successfully within the 25 Attorney General's office. -60-

1	There there's an observation that the media
2	function was separated in the Attorney General's office,
3	but the integration of the two functions is is needed
4	because you know, broadcast and print media are going to
5	be a vital way of getting information into the hands of
6	the public, particularly consumers. So the integration
7	and coordination of those two functions is going to be
8	important.
9	And then understanding that the outreach and public
10	education function understanding the goals of the
11	executive team in in the Attorney General's
12	operation, they had access to the executive team so there
13	was kind of clear line of sight between what the goals
14	were by the executive leadership so that that could be
15	translated by the public education and outreach team into
16	effective information sharing.
17	One last observation was that there is a there is
18	a great desire by regulated entities who understand what
19	is expected of them and how they reach compliance, how
20	they achieve compliance and so there is a desire to have

20 they achieve compliance, and so there is a desire to have 21 best practice guides that could inform industry and other 22 regulated entities in their -- in their compliance 23 efforts because this is a relatively new area of 24 regulation and not -- not a lot of case law to guide 25 their compliance. So that was -- that was a piece of

-61-

1	feedback that came in quite a bit in addition to kind of
2	best practice guides for consumers for what they can
3	how they can best protect their privacy.
4	And I think Vinhcent will touch on that a little bit
5	later in the presentation, but those best practice guides
6	for both consumers and business could be a vital piece of
7	work out of this function.
8	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Thank you. Could I ask a
9	clarifying question?
10	BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON: Yes.
11	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Thank you, Mr thank you both.
12	Two, actually, clarifying questions. The the first
13	one I think I understood, but I just want to be clear.
14	Mr. Thompson, when you were talking about functions
15	being separated, media and you you were talking
16	about public awareness on the one hand and guidance on
17	the other; is that correct? I just want to make sure I
18	have the right picture.
19	BOARD MEMBER LE: I I think actually, no. We
20	were talking about how in the Attorney General, there
21	was as separate media relations group
22	CHAIRMAN URBAN: I see.
23	BOARD MEMBER LE: as opposed to the public
24	education group. Attorney General well, privacy
25	public education. Attorney General has a lot of -62-

different responsibilities. Within our agency, we're
only focusing on privacy, so it doesn't make sense to
separate the two, so our privacy and outreach staff, we
recommended two -- one to two full-time positions,
ideally, two to -- to do that work. And they should also
handle the -- the media relations, as opposed to
separating those two functions.

8 CHAIRMAN URBAN: I understand. Because the
9 communications department would be melded.

10

25

BOARD MEMBER LE: Yes.

11 CHAIRMAN URBAN: I understand. Thank you very much. 12 And then my second question was, have you explored to --13 have you sought advice or explored any -- apologies. Let 14 me just formulate this properly. What is appropriate to 15 offer in terms of guidance? Because my understanding is 16 that in California agencies can sort of restate the law, 17 and beyond that, we have to do regulations. And I could 18 be being too conservative in my description if you're not 19 quite understanding it. But my main question is just 20 whether you had embarked on doing any research into that 21 question.

BOARD MEMBER LE: I'm not sure I understand the question. So, like, what's the guidance versus, like, regulations?

CHAIRMAN URBAN: The difference between pure

-63-

1 description and interpretation.

2	BOARD MEMBER LE: Right. Right. Yeah. So it
3	it we we understand that the legal requirements
4	tech aren't very are a little impenetrable for lay
5	people. So for for consumers in particular, who don't
6	have access to legal teams, they they would kind of
7	need some plain English explanations, plain-language
8	explanations, I'm sorry, to kind of understand those
9	rights. So the guidance would be in in in many
10	ways interpretations of of the of the regulation.
11	And part of that was recommending that the legal
12	teams work very closely with with this the privacy
13	and education staff to make sure that we're not losing
14	any of the substance so that we bind our enforcement
15	teams when it comes to yeah when it comes to enforcing
16	those regulations.
17	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Thank you. I apologize for
18	interrupting your flow.
19	BOARD MEMBER LE: No worries.
20	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Ms. de la Torre, did you have a
21	point on this?
22	BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: I'm not sure if if the
23	presentation is is finished. I don't want to
24	interrupt it.
25	BOARD MEMBER LE: No64-

1	CHAIRMAN URBAN: I think Mr. Le had more to say.
2	Thank you, Ms. de la Torre.
3	BOARD MEMBER LE: Yeah. I mean, if we if you
4	had this is our main recommendation is around these
5	two full-time employment positions. I don't know if you
6	had any questions on that. I'm happy to were happy to
7	take them now if you'd like.
8	BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: That that makes a lot
9	of sense. I I really appreciate the work that you
10	have done. I have a comment, but it's not on that, so
11	maybe, you know, finalize the presentation and and
12	then I
13	BOARD MEMBER LE: Okay.
14	BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: I can raise it.
15	BOARD MEMBER LE: All right. So beyond that, some
16	other observations that we made and and Chris
17	already mentioned this is that you know, media
18	relations is a primary way to amplify, you know, our
19	our regulations, our best practices publications and
20	guidances. So having that as part of the staff
21	responsibilities is important. And we we noted that
22	within the Attorney General, most resources go towards
23	enforcement.
24	And as a result, materials may not be updated as
25	much as standards evolve due to the fast-paced nature of
	-65-

1 the privacy fields, so this is more a recommendation for 2 the future ED is building a process in which when you -when new regulations are issued, there is kind of a 3 4 checkpoint where -- and -- and a database, perhaps, of --5 of materials that need to be updated. So it's getting really in the weeds, but as a process and as a -- you 6 7 know, yeah, as -- as a process within the organization, we think that makes a lot of sense. 8

9 And then beyond that, you know, we -- we found that 10 partnerships and collaborations with diverse groups of 11 partners such as you know, privacy organizations, IAPP, 12 other industry associations, consumer groups, are great 13 ways to get feedback and disseminate information. 14 I'll -- I'll highlight that Consumer Action and Consumer 15 Federation of America are working on a privacy survey 16 right now asking Californians if they know their privacy 17 rights, whether they use them or not, and why that is the 18 case.

So, you know, in a lot of ways those organizations are the force multiplier for getting education and outreach out there, so providing the materials for those organizations to use would be a really helpful way to -just making it easier for them to take our message and carry it to their constituents.

25

The FTC is a good example of an agency that has --

1	has better outreach methods than we we've seen from
2	perhaps, you know, other government agencies. So their
3	staff write blogs to explain to businesses their
4	responsibilities and potential enforcement. There's a
5	they created a video and a one-stop page for consumer
6	resources for consumer privacy. But we'll note that we
7	do think that's a good minimum, but beyond that, we
8	should also work on meeting people where they're at,
9	right, for consumers in particular.
10	So that means working with other platforms. Maybe
11	I'm dating myself by saying this, but yeah, like going on
12	YouTube, going on TikTok, Instagram, and perhaps
13	outsourcing that to, you know, third parties that aren't
14	a government agency in some sense, at least exploring
15	that use of those alternative platforms to get the
16	message out to consumers around what their rights are.
17	And just as a bit of data, the FTC video from a few
18	years ago explaining what folks' rights are has has
19	about 6,000 views. And you look up internet privacy on
20	TikTok that explain the same thing; they have the
21	the very first result had 29,000 views; the other the
22	other ways had one million views. It was in a succinct
23	and a little bit more engaging, perhaps, than than
24	government agencies are in in providing information.
25	So and that can also mean Twitter, podcasts. -67-

1	Other ways to provide this information is something we
2	should explore, whether that creates any conflicts and,
3	you know, what is the process for us to perhaps outsource
4	that kind of engagement while still having, you know,
5	oversight to make sure the content is accurate.
6	And my final two points is that we need to and
7	this is, again, for the ED is that we need to make
8	sure there's solid communication between the Attorney
9	General and the CPPA to make sure that there are parallel
10	education efforts, you know, that not all the
11	responsibilities are with us. We need to make sure that
12	there's there's there isn't conflicts between what
13	we're saying and what they're saying.
14	And then finally, this is around the informational
15	hearings. As you may know, the Attorney General did a
16	roadshow of sorts. They went to seven cities to get
17	feedback from the public on the CCPA. And the feedback
18	that we got was this was helpful from a public engagement
19	standpoint, but there was actually very little public
20	feedback during those hearings, right. The majority of
21	the the actual comments were written and in response
22	to yeah, the written request for comments, and folks
23	didn't talk very much at those meetings.
24	The recommendation that we heard was to cut that
25	back significantly and and maybe have one to two, -68-

1	perhaps three at the most, knowing that most folks will
2	be submitting comments in a written manner. And and
3	if we do do informational hearings, I think it's really
4	important that we make sure the public and other
5	stakeholders on a good position to provide substantive
6	feedback, right. So that's making sure that we're asking
7	specific questions.
8	So as I mentioned earlier with the CPUC, when they
9	do a scoping memo there's very specific questions that
10	folks are are asked that will really help agency staff
11	craft the regulations. So we need to really as in
12	subcommittees and as we do these these hearings, we
13	need to make sure we develop good questions for for
14	public to comment on that provides us the material that
15	we need to create the regulations.
16	And I believe that was it. I'm happy to take
17	questions.
18	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Wonderful. Thank you very much,
19	Mr. Thompson, Mr. Le. Your the clarity and substance
20	of your presentation did not suffer from the lack of
21	slides. So
22	BOARD MEMBER LE: Thank you.
23	CHAIRMAN URBAN: we thank you very very much
24	for that.
25	Ms. de la Torre?
	-69-

1	BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: Actually, my main
2	question was answered already, and it was around how we
3	will coordinate our efforts with the Attorney General,
4	given that we share enforcement responsibility with them.
5	It is really important, I think, that they are also
6	involved in anything that we do on the education side. I
7	was going to suggest, as well, in terms of the question
8	that was raised by the Chair, which I don't have an
9	answer for in my in my mind, but I know that a few
10	years ago, when, you know, we all started using mobile
11	telephones, the California AG put out a very good
12	resource of privacy in the era of mobile, where they used
13	the laws that were in place to interpret them in in
14	the context of mobile. I think that could be a good
15	reference as to the kinds of things that we might be able
16	to do as an agency in compliance with the California
17	requirements.
18	The other resource that they have put out is a data
19	breach report. I think the last one is from 2016, and
20	they are using, basically, a summary of all of their
21	enforcement actions to derive some advice for
22	organizations on how to put themselves in in a good
23	situation to ensure that the information is secure. So
24	those two resources, I think, are a good, kind of give
25	us an idea of the kind of things that the agency, I think $-70-$

1 we will be able to do in -- you know, remain in 2 compliance with the California requirements.

3 BOARD MEMBER LE: Right. Yeah. I think to your 4 first point around the AG and CCPA, communication, I 5 think the -- the -- the feedback that we got is like this really has to happen on the staff level. Leadership has 6 7 to make sure that these communication channels are open. But us as a board have -- you know, we -- we can 8 9 only do so much other than to say to ED, like, hey, create this communication channel between -- between 10 11 these two -- these two agencies. And then to your point 12 about, yeah, creating a resource like that, we believe 13 that should definitely be part of the role of the --14 those two full-time -- the staffers, right, is creating a 15 one-stop shop where possible and then, yeah, just -- just 16 a -- a resource center for other organizations to use as 17 they, you know, popularize and -- and disseminate 18 information to their constituents.

20 Seeing no other hands at the moment, I would like to 21 ask a question. In thinking about sort of traffic flow 22 and traffic control for accomplishing some of these 23 really good ideas, I was hoping that, if you are prepared 24 to, the subcommittee could say a little bit more about 25 these two staff positions, characteristics that you're -71-

Thank you, Mr. Le.

19

CHAIRMAN URBAN:

1 thinking of; could be in broad terms. I just have my
2 mind on looking for classifications and wondering if you
3 could flesh them out a little bit more.

4 BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON: Yeah, actually there was a 5 specific role, and I wish -- I -- I had it, the specific role for -- at the AG that we could probably copy that 6 7 classification for. I don't have it in front of me right 8 now. But there -- there was one person. And then they 9 had an assistant. So those were the two roles. And 10 yeah, apologies. We never -- it never occurred to me 11 to -- to actually grab that classification. But there 12 was a specific one, and that, as far as we know, yeah, we 13 could probably just copy that over as soon as I find 14 that.

15 CHAIRMAN URBAN: Wonderful. Thank you very much.
16 That -- that would be great. So I -- I -- I appreciate
17 that.

18 Any other questions or comments from the board?19 Yes, Ms. Sierra.

BOARD MEMBER SIERRA: See if I'm -- great. No, this -- this -- great ideas, and I really love the fact of kind of thinking early on. I mean, the beauty of us being able to develop from scratch, even though it is a lot of work, is that we can put the system in, you know, as part of our infrastructure, and really so much agree -72-
with the, you know, putting the folks that will be working on this outreach and communications to work either within our legal shop and/or, you know, working very collaboratively with our legal shop, I agree, is key, just to make sure, like, we are being, you know, as accurate as possible.

7 They can also -- if they're coordinating on that, 8 they could coordinate with respect to -- for giving 9 quidance. You know, what are the lines that we cannot 10 cross in terms of, you know, is that a regulation versus 11 quidance? You know, our legal shop will be able to help 12 that team, those two or three folks that we're talking 13 about, on those issues as well. So I just -- I really 14 like the idea of starting from the beginning with that 15 coordination in mind.

16 CHAIRMAN URBAN: Thank you, Ms. Sierra. 17 To the subcommittee, are -- are there any things 18 that you would like the board's sort of sense of or -- or 19 anything like that or -- was it -- is this 20 (indiscernible) update in?

21 Yes, Mr. Le.

BOARD MEMBER LE: Yeah. I -- I -- I believe, I think, in terms of prioritizing, it would be interesting to get the board's perspective on -- on the priority for this. You know, I think this may -- this could probably -73-

1	wait until we get this these other hires first, to the
2	extent of of you know, your resources and and
3	putting out job postings and what and whatnot, but I'd
4	love to get everyone's kind of input on, yeah,
5	prioritization for for this this role.
6	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Thank you, Mr. Le.
7	Ms. Sierra?
8	Oh, your hand was still up. All right. Wonderful.
9	Thank you.
10	Thoughts on prioritization, Mr. Thompson.
11	BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON: Oh, sorry. I'm just going
12	to add to that to echo what what Vinhcent said.
13	You know, I think the work the this work can roll
14	into the work that the process subcommittee is doing. So
15	conveniently, I will come into that with some
16	institutional memory of of this work. But I concur
17	with him that, you know, I I don't think this changes
18	the sequencing of our hiring plans, but it's something to
19	factor in as as we're getting past the executive
20	director and and general counsel and and chief
21	deputy for administration hires, that looking forward
22	to to kind of the next round of hires is is more
23	appropriate.
24	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Thank you, Mr. Thompson.
25	Yes, that I was thinking the same thing, that -74-

some of this informational hearing things can roll off this is -- this is a pro forma reminder. I know that you -- you don't need it, but just to say it, to be sure to silo things under Bagley-Keene.

5

Ms. de la Torre?

MS. DE LA TORRE: Thank you. One thing that I was 6 7 going to suggest -- and I -- and I don't know that I have 8 clear feedback to give in terms of priorities, but given 9 the fact that there's so much work to be done, if this subcommittee considers that the work of the subcommittee 10 might be something that is more long term than short 11 12 term, we might consider delegating additional things that 13 are urgent just to best utilize the -- this resource, 14 this subcommittee.

15 And I don't have a concrete idea of how that could 16 be done. I know the Chair might have more, you know, 17 (indiscernible) over everything that's going on, but 18 perhaps if there is no -- time-wise if there is some time 19 that the subcommittee can dedicate to help with things 20 that need to be done in the next three to four months, we 21 should consider best utilizing the -- the resource. I --22 I know there's Bagley-Keene. There's a lot of 2.3 considerations, but I just wanted to throw out there that 24 we're stretched for resources and we should be wise on 25 how we maximize.

1	BOARD MEMBER LE: Yeah. I think in in terms of
2	what the board and subcommittee should do, at most, I
3	would really like to (ph.) put out those postings. And
4	we can discuss that at a later, like, what those
5	those that posting should look like after I get that
6	information, but I I believe, you know, the ED, as we
7	recommended that this position be you know, have good
8	line of sight to the legal team and the ED, though I do
9	believe that they would be the one making that choice on
10	who to hire. So we could maybe make it easier for them
11	in the short term by maybe putting a posting out at most,
12	and then beyond that I think that level of staffing
13	should should be held by, yeah, whoever whoever
14	runs the agency.
15	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Ms. Sierra?
16	BOARD MEMBER SIERRA: Yeah. I I think that makes
17	a lot of sense because, especially once we also have the
18	general counsel, and we did identify we were on the
19	same wavelength that this is an area that the general
20	counsel will be or or the legal division will be
21	involved in, and so I think they can take you know, we
22	can provide all this feedback and thinking and ideas to
23	them and kind of then they can kind of take the next
24	step.
25	<b>CHAIRMAN URBAN:</b> Thank you, Ms. Sierra. -76-

1		

9

Is there any public comment?

2 MR. PANERO: Yes. Thank you. So it looks like we
3 have a couple of public comments in line. We have a
4 first comment from Ray Kitty (ph.).

As a reminder for anyone else who'd like to make a public comment, you can press the raised hand icon in your meeting window or press \*9 if you're connected by telephone.

So first comment from Ray Kitty.

10 You have three minutes.

MR. KITTY: Hello. Thank you. I wanted to just express -- I know these are very early days, but as an information resource for the public, you all could do something really amazing. You know, it occurred to me there's a resource -- I can't make up the name for this -- it's called Colossus, right. Companies have set this up and many companies buy into it.

18 It provides them data about torts and product 19 liability lawsuits. It provides amazingly detailed case 20 data, which is very hard to get for the members of the 21 public. You know, it's theoretically accessible but hard 22 to get. And so companies can come to a lawsuit and they 23 can decide in this county, with this demographic, with 24 this judge, with this kind of occurrence how will we win, 25 how -- how can we avoid paying, how can we do -- you -771 know, be held as little -- non liable as possible? They
2 had this access to a strategic resource.

As far as I know, no one is building that for the public. So I would just suggest -- you know, there's a continuum you all could publish the information that's legally required to be published about things that happened, or you could publish it, you know, everything you're legally entitled, you know, that it's legally possible for you to publish that's continuum.

10 And, you know, I would just encourage you to be as forthcoming with information about cases, what things 11 12 have happened, who's sued who, who's had valid claims, 13 how were things defended, how were things found to be 14 wrong, how were mistakes corrected, and, you know, to put 15 out any information which is public in any way, you know, 16 including case data, any -- you know, public information. 17 You know, you all can be putting that out too. So I just 18 wanted you -- to encourage you to, you know, step up to 19 that. And I'm really looking forward to seeing what you 20 all do. Thanks. Bye.

CHAIRMAN URBAN: Thank you. I -- I did want to say, when I'm looking down and taking notes -- I know you can't see my notepad, but I wanted you to know that's where I'm looking. Thank you for you the comment. MR. PANERO: Great. It looks like we have a comment -781 from Barry Weber (ph.).

2	Barry, you have three minutes to make your comment.
3	MR. WEBER: I'll be quicker than that. I've got
4	three quick comments to separate. The first one is on
5	the subject of resourcing for this awareness component.
6	I I think of it as two different kinds of components.
7	One's a public relations component. And the other one's
8	a training/awareness component. And it's HR people or
9	recruiters often talk about purple squirrels. It's tough
10	to find purple squirrels. And you might want to have to
11	consider how many people it really takes based upon
12	actual skill set.
13	Specifically, on the education awareness space, you
14	might want to consider going down the path or of
15	gamification, so so there would actually be uptake of
16	understanding. And that takes a different kind of
17	skillset and thought process than PR, as an example.
18	The second comment has to do with the aware the
19	general awareness. There's a lot of discussion about
20	consumer awareness; there's discussion about business
21	awareness. But theoretically, the your agency's going
22	to come out with regulations for employees also, and that
23	is, I think, a different audience than consumers and
24	and and business. You might want to think through
25	what that means from an awareness standpoint. $-79-$

The third one is that I think that the six of you 1 2 would make a great TikTok. Thank you for your comment. 3 MR. PANERO: 4 CHAIRMAN URBAN: We would have to do it in a public 5 meeting. Thank you for your comment. 6 MR. PANERO: And it looks like we have a comment 7 from Barb Lawler (ph.). So you have three minutes to make your comment. 8 9 MS. LAWLER: Hello. My name is Barbara Lawler and I 10 am the chief operating officer and senior strategist for 11 the Information Accountability Foundation. And we're a 12 forward-looking information policy think tank. I'm also a three-time former chief privacy officer. And I wanted 13 14 to reinforce support for public hearings. I had the 15 opportunity to provide feedback at one of them back in December of 2019. 16 17 And I think the challenge with public hearings is 18 that they tend to be scheduled around what works for 19 businesspeople to attend and not the actual public. And 20 so I would encourage to use, where the statutes -- not 21 just this one, but California law requires that public 22 hearings could be held in a virtual manner just as these 2.3 meetings are themselves. 24 And I think that will provide more opportunity for 25 comment from a variety of individual citizens, consumer -80-

1	groups who may not be able to make, for example, a
2	potentially long drive and find parking to attend a
3	public event. I also agree with everything Barry said in
4	terms of a communication strategy, that it's consumer-
5	focused, it's business-focused, and education is a
6	different skill set that PR. Thanks.
7	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Thank you very much.
8	MR. PANERO: I see no further public comments at
9	this time.
10	CHAIRMAN URBAN: All right. Thank you very much for
11	the comments from the public. I do want to do a time
12	check. We have delegation of authority, public comments
13	that are not on the agenda, media items, and circling
14	back to our first agenda item. I propose we squeeze
15	circle back and reopen our first agenda item now that we
16	have some pretty solid information about resources and
17	needs. And
18	BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: Chairman
19	CHAIRMAN URBAN: One so I propose that that's our
20	next item, and we'll ask Ms. de recognize Ms. de la
21	Torre.
22	BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: Thank you.
23	This goes to my comment my prior comment in terms
24	of what else can the subcommittee do. It just occurred
25	to me I just remember that one of the things that -81-
1	

1	(indiscernible) provides for is that the there's a
2	percentage of the money derived from enforcement that has
3	to be allocated to different initiatives that help locate
4	(ph.) the the public et cetera.
5	I do not remember right now off the top of head what
6	section that is. But maybe this will be a great
7	subcommittee to start thinking about how that will look
8	like once we have those resources, how should we, you
9	know, create a process where different organizations,
10	maybe different universities, different educational
11	organizations, can request those funds and what are the
12	priorities that we should have there.
13	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Thank you, Ms. de la Torre. You
14	you're speaking about the grant-making authority of
15	the with the privacy public the privacy fund,
16	correct?
17	BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: Correct.
18	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Yes. Thank you.
19	Mr. Le.
20	BOARD MEMBER LE: Yeah. I just wanted to quickly
21	add the the rule at the California Attorney General
22	was the Director of Privacy Education and Policy, if that
23	helps you out in the finding I couldn't find the
24	classification, but that would be the equivalent.
25	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Director of Privacy Education and -82-

1 || Policy? Wonderful. Thank you very much.

**BOARD MEMBER LE:** That's correct.

2

25

CHAIRMAN URBAN: I thank the subcommittee for this 3 4 terrific work and for the clear presentation-sharing 5 brain you are. At this point, I would like to move back to the first agenda item, which was the Chairperson's 6 7 report, as a reminder, and recall that item for 8 discussion of -- of resources and needs in terms of 9 meetings and public events. 10 We now have a sense, I hope, after all the 11 subcommittees' reports of plans that the subcommittees 12 are reporting. And we have some information about 13 resources and potential resources that we have. I'd like 14 to remind the group that we have two board -- public 15 board meetings scheduled after this one, currently in October and in November. 16 17 We have the option of scheduling additional meetings

18 as long as we notice them ten days in advance. We do 19 have some very difficult -- just simply they're there on 20 staffing limitations, because each meeting requires 21 counsel, Zoom webinar moderator, and those kinds of 22 things. We've discussed a number of different 23 interactions with the public over the course of the day 24 so far.

And -- and I have -- and so I think we have the

-83-

1	information to try to work out what the what the sense
2	(ph.) of board is and hopefully talk about options a
3	little bit. I wanted to add one detail that I neglected
4	to mention during the first agenda item. My apologies.
5	And the last commentor, Ms. Lawler, reminded me of it
6	with her good suggestion to to lean on virtual
7	meetings.
8	There is an order there's an executive order that
9	currently allows us to meet virtually and comply with
10	Bagley-Keene. That order expires on September 30th. I
11	don't have information about whether the order will be
12	extended or whether the legislature might reform the
13	current requirements for public meetings.
14	We had a previous commentor point out that their
15	session is waning rapidly. But there are potentials for
16	us to continue to be able to meet virtually while we have
17	a quorum as long as we meet the other requirements.
18	There's also the potential that we can't. And I just
19	wanted to be sure that everybody was aware of that so
20	that we had full information as we engaged in this
21	discussion.
22	And with that, what we have on the table, as I
23	understand it, are at least a number of informational
24	hearings or workshops. We've discussed a little bit how

25 many those might be. Regular board meetings in which we

-84-

1	take up topics from agenda topics and subcommittee
2	reports. We also are working hard, of course, to hire a
3	number of people, some of whom require board approval.
4	And we could include additional meetings to allow us to
5	deliberate on that. They do have to be noticed.
6	We welcome the public. We could spend most of the
7	time in closed session for those meetings, however.
8	Ms. Sierra.
9	BOARD MEMBER SIERRA: I apologize. My hand should
10	be down.
11	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Oh, okay. Thank you, Ms. Sierra.
12	Other comment?
13	Yes, Ms. de la Torre.
14	BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: Thank you. I I'm very
14 15	<b>BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE:</b> Thank you. I I'm very concerned about the fact that in the last six months we
15	concerned about the fact that in the last six months we
15 16	concerned about the fact that in the last six months we have been able to meet only twice. And I do want to,
15 16 17	concerned about the fact that in the last six months we have been able to meet only twice. And I do want to, first of all, acknowledge and appreciate the efforts that
15 16 17 18	concerned about the fact that in the last six months we have been able to meet only twice. And I do want to, first of all, acknowledge and appreciate the efforts that the Chair has made to get us to meet these two times.
15 16 17 18 19	concerned about the fact that in the last six months we have been able to meet only twice. And I do want to, first of all, acknowledge and appreciate the efforts that the Chair has made to get us to meet these two times. All of the work that is on her shoulders, the the
15 16 17 18 19 20	concerned about the fact that in the last six months we have been able to meet only twice. And I do want to, first of all, acknowledge and appreciate the efforts that the Chair has made to get us to meet these two times. All of the work that is on her shoulders, the the limitation in terms of resources, but I do believe that
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	concerned about the fact that in the last six months we have been able to meet only twice. And I do want to, first of all, acknowledge and appreciate the efforts that the Chair has made to get us to meet these two times. All of the work that is on her shoulders, the the limitation in terms of resources, but I do believe that even if we commit to meet monthly moving forward, we're
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	concerned about the fact that in the last six months we have been able to meet only twice. And I do want to, first of all, acknowledge and appreciate the efforts that the Chair has made to get us to meet these two times. All of the work that is on her shoulders, the the limitation in terms of resources, but I do believe that even if we commit to meet monthly moving forward, we're going to put ourselves in a situation where we will not

1	like to discuss how we can support the efforts that the
2	Chair has been making to enable us to have the staff to
3	hold a meeting. I'm not sure to what degree the
4	leadership of the agency that houses us is aware of those
5	constraints and really of the importance of us being able
6	to meet.
7	We have a mandate from the California voters.
8	Millions of people voted to enact CPRA and to create this
9	board. And we we have a commitment to them that we
10	have to uphold. So two things. One is I I would like
11	to have us agree to meet twice a month. I understand
12	that we already have things in October and November that
13	are set. I wouldn't want to distract (ph.) those. We
14	already have secure staff for them.
15	But moving forward, I think it would be helpful for
16	us to make a permanent commitment to say we are going to
17	meet on the second and third Tuesday of the month, or
18	whatever dates we decide, so that those can be just
19	reserved for us in terms of our time, but also the public
20	will be aware that we will be meeting.
21	I'm not I know that these meetings will have to
22	be allocated to all of the different categories that the
23	Chair just highlighted. It's not only public meetings;
24	they can be informational meetings. There are some of
25	those meetings that might have to be behind closed doors -86-

1	so that we can conduct interviews. But again, my main
2	concern is that on this we radically change the cadence
3	of the meetings is not going to be possible for us
4	to to deliver on our commitment.
5	In terms of providing support for the efforts of the
6	Chair, I think that we we should be able to perhaps
7	reach out to our appointing authorities and bring to
8	their attention if we have difficulty securing the
9	personnel that we need, that facts that they can perhaps
10	provide support. That's just an idea. I am open to
11	suggestions that other members might have.
12	But this is a really, really important thing. It is
13	basic. It is basic for the Board to be able to function,
14	that we need to meet. And I do not think that our prior
15	commitment to meet monthly is going to be sufficient,
16	given that we haven't met since we basically have
17	skipped two meetings.
18	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Thank you, Ms. de la Torre. If
19	if you don't mind, I'll paraphrase to be sure that I
20	understand. Your proposal is that the board commit to
21	meeting twice a month, starting starting soon. We
22	can we can we can talk about that.
23	BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: May maybe December,
24	given that we have two months where we already have
25	something that's scheduled and with the understanding -87-

that, you know, if if there is a possibility to fit
another meeting within to October/November frame where
we we might want to try for that, but definitely
starting in December.
CHAIRMAN URBAN: Thank you. That that's helpful.
And and this would be for the board to use for
meetings like this, closed session for hiring and
informational hearings. And if I'm what I'm working
at is I think that we may need more if we're going to do
some informational
BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: Right.
CHAIRMAN URBAN: hearings. Okay. Thank you, Ms.
de la Torre.
I'd like to open it up for board comments.
Yes, Ms. Sierra.
BOARD MEMBER SIERRA: Thank you. You know, part of
me is not opposed to meeting more often. And I think,
you know, there may be definitely things that we will
need for example, closed sessions more often for
hiring issues, but I'm just I am concerned that we
have so much to do in our subcommittees that I want
that I think, you know, there's a balance between the
time being spent on our subcommittee work, which is going
to be very substantive, you know, versus time spent in
the board meeting, and I'd want you know, I'm a little -88-

1	worried that if we're meeting too often they our
2	board meetings won't be as productive as they may be if
3	we space them out, you know, at least every four weeks so
4	that each subcommittee will have more to report and have
5	proposals for the board. I mean, I don't feel as
6	strongly about not meeting, but I am concerned about
7	you know, we have all limited time and would want to make
8	sure we use it as productively as possible.
9	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Thank you, Ms. Sierra.
10	I would clarify that I believe that Ms. de la
11	Torre's also encapsulated in there like public excuse
12	me, public informational hearings. Thank you for the
13	comment.
14	Additional comments?
15	Yes, Mr. Le.
16	Followed by Mr. Thompson.
17	BOARD MEMBER LE: Yeah. You know, I I think as
18	long as we have enough to discuss in those in those
19	meetings, I think our I wouldn't have an issue having,
20	you know, more than one meeting. You know, scheduling's
21	going to be tough. Thank you, Debbie, for doing all your
22	work just to schedule, you know, those two in October and
23	November. It wasn't it wasn't easy getting everyone's
24	schedule to match. So, you know, with with that in
25	mind, if there is something of substance, I would be okay $-89-$
	-09-

1	with meeting more. But to to add to Ms. Sierra's
2	point, you know, I think a lot of the work's going to
3	transition to this subcommittee, and perhaps that the
4	better outlet.
5	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Thank you, Mr. Le.
6	Mr. Thompson?
7	BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON: I would agree with with
8	Vinhcent's comments that if if we have things to meet
9	on, I'm happy to meet more frequently. I think we need
10	to frontload our our level of effort, which I think is
11	implied in what what Ms. de la Torre's comments are.
12	You know, we've all talked about the deadlines we're
13	up against. I I'm I'm caution us against
14	supplanting staff resources with our own time. And we're
15	not the we're not meant to be the staff of the agency.
16	We just are somewhat I think we we are taking over
17	a little bit of those functions in because we don't
18	have folks on board.
19	So whatever we can do to more quickly bring whatever
20	resources we can onboard to do things faster, other than
21	through our own efforts, I think, would be time extremely
22	well spent. So how how we can do that, how I can
23	contribute to that, how the rest of us can contribute to
24	that I know that there's a lot of work that's been
25	going on by the chairperson, by the staff that we have on $-90-$

1 loan from agencies that are -- thank you for -- for doing
2 what -- what you're doing.

3	I meant to ask, you you'd sent you'd made
4	mention of having sent a formal request to the Attorney
5	General for staff resources. What form that that
6	request took because I I do need to get more people of
7	many different types as fast as we possibly can. And the
8	best kind of people that we can probably get are the ones
9	who've worked on this before. But if if it's not
10	them, then I think we need to identify what our second
11	and third preference types of resources are, because
12	this we just don't have enough people, even with all
13	of us.
14	And meeting twice frequently, I don't think, is
15	going to make up for, you know, tens of people that we
16	we ideally should have onboard
17	BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: All right.
18	BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON: as soon as possible.
19	BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: All right. And I I
20	just want to clarify that I I mean, if we do the math
21	and we're thinking that we'd have to have a back traded
22	(ph.) by May, we have seven meetings ahead if we continue
23	to meet monthly. And I do not see the meetings as
24	something that will subtract from the subcommittee work.
25	I think it's something that will add to the subcommittee -91-

1 work. Because one of the things that we really have to 2 think about is how we can build consensus in terms of the 3 two packages of rules that we will have to approve in an 4 open meeting before we even put them out for comment. 5 So when you think about all those additional steps that apply to us because of Bagley-Keene, I believe that 6 7 meeting more frequently, even if it's a meeting for three hours, where the subcommittees can bring up things that 8 9 they are considering in terms of policy, which direction 10 to go with any specifics of the rulemaking, and gathering 11 the feedback from the other members so that when we come 12 out with a version of the rules and we present it to the 13 board for approval, we account for the different 14 perspectives of all of the board members, I think that 15 actually, that's going to put us in a much better -- in a 16 much better position to accomplish the goals of the subcommittee. 17 18 I -- particularly, I'm thinking about my 19 subcommittee, where everything is new; all of the rules 20 have not been drafted. So I anticipate that as we are 21 having that conversation as a subcommittee, we will 22 benefit from input from the other members of the board, 23 and the only process whereby we can get that input is an 24 open meeting. So again, I appreciate the efforts that 25 everybody has put in place. I am aware of the challenge.

-92-

1	I just I just believe that realistically if you count
2	the months left, there is no path that is viable to us
3	achieving what we're set out to achieve unless we switch
4	to a calendar that allows us to meet twice a month.
5	And maybe we can have meetings that are shorter.
6	Instead of having a five-hour meeting or a nine-hour
7	meeting, we can have a three-, four-hour meeting that is
8	more concentrated that we can discuss things that are
9	more policy-oriented that might be on top of on top of
10	mind for the different subcommittees.
11	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Thank you, Ms. de la Torre.
12	So my well, I'm I'm the Chair, and that this
13	does make me slightly biased in favor of certainty in
14	having a schedule. I also, however, have to acknowledge
15	that preparing for a public meeting is, by itself, a lot
16	of work. It does there is there is a competition
17	there in the work that you're doing, so I think it's
18	important to acknowledge that. I also want to be clear
19	that I am willing to work as hard as I absolutely can to
20	secure resources for as many meetings as we would like to
21	have and as many meetings, of course, as we need.
22	And there have been some hard limits that are not
23	tied to anybody not wanting to help us out or not working
24	to to provide us what we need. So I just want to be
25	clear about those things because I think that it's -93-

1 important to be transparent about those things. With 2 that said, Ms. de la Torre, as I understand it, proposed, I believe, Ms. de la Torre, that the board members 3 4 consider committing to some recurrent dates starting in 5 December. I would not be able to commit the staff, but I do 6 7 think that we're on a path to -- to have more resources 8 over the next couple of months. So with -- I just --9 I -- you know, there's only so much that we can do, but I believe that to be the case. And so I think what the 10 11 question is the sense of the board on changing our 12 recurrent meeting schedule and commitment starting in 13 December to two times a month. 14 And I believe, Ms. de la Torre, you were suggesting 15 some concrete options, perhaps just in order to go ahead 16 and --17 BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: Correct. 18 CHAIRMAN URBAN: -- supply those. 19 BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: My -- my original 20 suggestion was to just -- since we are on a Tuesday, just 21 to agree on the first and third Tuesday of the month with 22 the understanding that we can change that in the meeting. 2.3 So when we come back in October 18, if there is any 24 reason why any particular, you know, first or third 25 Tuesday is not viable, we -- we can discuss changing -941 that.

2	I do believe that that I believe to predict the
3	schedule way in advance is also potentially going to be
4	helpful in terms of enabling us to to secure the
5	resources because we will have certain dates. I'm
6	flexible if somebody wants to suggest something else. I
7	just was trying to avoid a Monday or a Friday because
8	those tend to be more they they tend to be more
9	holidays on Mondays and Fridays, but I don't have any
10	particular attachment to Tuesday other than today's
11	Tuesday.
12	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Thank you, Ms. de la Torre.
13	I I would need to check my calendar, but the
14	the thought of having a some sort of set expectations
15	that we can go to (phone ringing) I apologize
16	that we can go to staff with and maybe come back and
17	revise the dates (indiscernible) frequency if we need to
18	is I I generally support the idea.
19	I also hear what board members are saying. And I do
20	realize that we have been asking you to do a lot. So I
21	would like to go around the room and just have a final
22	sense, and maybe we will have consensus on this item.
23	BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: One thing that I want to
24	mention before we go around the room is there is much
25	easier to cancel a meeting that has been put in the $-95-$

1	schedule than to generate a new one. So, you know, the
2	fact that we commit to two days doesn't necessarily mean
3	that we cannot have a conversation in October about
4	changing a date in December because it's close to
5	Christmas. But I think it will be really helpful to just
6	generate that expectation in advance, at least until the
7	end of rulemaking.
8	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Thank you, Ms. de la Torre.
9	Yes, and I want to check sort of Passover, right?
10	BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: Right.
11	CHAIRMAN URBAN: (Indiscernible), so forth. All
12	right.
13	Mr. Le.
14	BOARD MEMBER LE: Yeah. I I think it's going to
15	be tough first I mean, two things. We can we can
16	figure out the date, but if if they're all-day
17	meetings, that's going to be tough with other
18	responsibilities. So if we knew they were only going to
19	be, like, two or three hours
20	BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: Right. That
21	BOARD MEMBER LE: that that would be more
22	appropriate and more possible, I think, from a logistical
23	standpoint. And just to just to add to what Mr.
24	Thompson said is I think it's becoming clear that once we
25	hire this ED, we probably should try to find a $-96-$

1	legislative champion to to push back this deadline.
2	Just it it doesn't feel right for, you know, the
3	subcommittee to be, like, writing all of the rules kind
4	of from from based on our experiences, and and you
5	know, lot of lot of countries and a lot of states
6	are are are looking at California for putting out
7	good rules for for this. And I would hate to rush
8	them, you know, un that in a way we don't have to and
9	just create more problems down the line. I'd rather get
10	a good set of rules out earlier. So a little unrelated
11	to that, but just wanted to make that point.
12	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Thank you, Mr. Le.
13	I think we are balancing a lot of things, so I do
14	this it is relevant.
15	Mr. Thompson.
16	Oh, I'm sorry. Ms. Sierra. I apologize if I'm
17	going alphabetical order.
18	And Mr. Thompson, you and I next meeting, we're
19	going to go backwards.
20	BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON: Okay.
21	CHAIRMAN URBAN: I know (indiscernible) long time
22	experience, I was at the end of the line.
23	Ms. Sierra.
24	BOARD MEMBER SIERRA: You know, I do I I think
25	the point Ms. de la Torre makes about consensus building -97-

1	is a really good one. You know, and if we are meeting
2	often, you know, I think that will help. I think, you
3	know, it may be or about this bringing the point about
4	talking about issues just in development. And I like
5	this idea, though, again, in realistically maybe they're
6	shorter meetings if we're meeting more often, you know.
7	I still see there is like this tension between the
8	different things we're doing.
9	You know, there is work that's just kind of you
10	have to focus for preparing for a board meeting. But,
11	you know, on balance, I'm supportive. I just you
12	know, I I do think that focusing on the most important
13	agenda items for each meeting will be key if we move
14	forward in that way.
15	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Thank you, Ms. Sierra.
16	BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: And I think we can trust
17	the the Chair to deal with that, help us prioritize
18	what goes into each meeting and and just agree to have
19	shorter, more targeted meetings.
20	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Thank you, Ms. de la Torre. I
21	don't always have insight. But the other thing we can
22	combine that with is what we've been doing today, which
23	is subcommittees reporting back and recommending
24	priorities.
25	Mr. Thompson.
	-98-

1	BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON: It is the question our
2	willingness to do more frequent meetings?
3	CHAIRMAN URBAN: I believe that the question is,
4	yes, willingness to do more frequent meetings. And
5	specifically, Ms. de la Torre has proposed that we commit
6	to two meetings events, at least starting in
7	December. And she had proposed as a as a a
8	strawman, I think, Tues she used the second the
9	first and third Tuesday
10	BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: Correct.
11	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Correct. Thank you. The first and
12	third Tuesday. I reali I realize and do want to
13	emphasize that I have to go back and figure out if that
14	is possible, but it would give me something to work with.
15	BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON: Right. So whether or not
16	it's the first and third Tuesdays of the month, I'm happy
17	to do more frequent meetings. I agree with the comments
18	that have been made that they should be shorter or more
19	focused because, you know, multiple six-, seven-,
20	eight-hour-a-day meet or per meeting meetings would
21	seem is is a lot. I think there are multiple
22	actions, and I'm sorry to repeat myself, that we have to
23	take in order to accelerate. That's one. And I think,
24	you know I think we need a dozen staff to fall out of
25	the sky also. And how we can make that happen if I -99-

1 was going to pick one thing, a dozen people up to speed 2 falling out of the sky would be, I think, more urgent. So whatever we can do to accelerate the staffing as 3 4 well because there's only so much the five of us can do, 5 plus, as you said, Chairperson, you know, prep for the meetings, burn staff -- the limited staff resources we 6 7 have on tasks that are not necessarily -- well, they are -- they are related to moving us forward towards --8 9 towards rules, so that would be an unfair 10 characterization to say that they aren't, but that my --11 my number one priority would be accelerate the -- the 12 staffing. I'm happy to do more meetings. 13 CHAIRMAN URBAN: Thank you very much, Mr. Thompson. 14 My number one wish would be a fully formed team of 15 twelve people falling out of the sky as well. And I hear 16 and acknowledge and agree with your points that continuing to push in every direction to develop a good 17 18 team is -- is a priority. 19 Can we, in principle, agree that I will take the 20 second and -- excuse me, the first and third Tuesdays of 21 each month, talk with staff, talk, perhaps with the 22 Attorney General's office and figure out what we can do 2.3 for resources? We're going to have to have meetings and 24 hearings and everything in any case, and it would help me 25 if I had something to shoot for with the recognition that -100 -

1	Ms. Castanon may be writing you if it turns out that
2	there's some unforeseen issue and ask about some other
3	scheme, but with the goal of having a scheme if we can.
4	BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: I I support that.
5	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Okay. Thank you, Ms. de la Torre.
6	Let's just go around the room again. And then if we
7	are in support, then we can move on.
8	Mr. Le.
9	BOARD MEMBER LE: Yeah, that that works for me.
10	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Thank you.
11	Ms. Sierra.
12	BOARD MEMBER SIERRA: I support that as well.
13	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Thank you.
14	Mr. Thompson.
15	BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON: Good with me.
16	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Thank you, Mr. Thompson.
17	All right. I will do my best, and I appreciate
18	everybody, you know, making working to make things
19	work when when it is when it is a lot of time and
20	resources to ask.
21	Thank you very much, members of the board. I will
22	now re-close out agenda item number 1 and move to agenda
23	item number 7. We have three agenda items remaining and
24	a date with our closed session, so I do not want to limit
25	substantive discussion, but I do would like to people -101-

1	to be aware of the deadline, and if I'm asking you to
2	move along if you can, it is with that in mind.
3	Agenda item number 7 is a revisitation of the
4	delegation of authority for limited administrative
5	functions we discussed in the last meeting. This is the
6	limited delegation that allows me to do things like sign
7	contracts, and as Ms. de la Torre mentioned earlier in
8	the meeting, approve hiring for the positions that are
9	not carved out and that kind of thing.
10	It's based on section 1798.199.135 of the civil code
11	from the CPRA, which says that agency board may delegate
12	authority to the chairperson or executive director to act
13	in the name of the agency between meetings of the agency
14	with two very important exceptions: enforcement and
15	rulemaking authority.
16	I will go ahead and bring the delegation that's been
17	circulated up on the screen.
18	For members of the public, this is also on the
19	website. The only change to the delegation is to change
20	the the date. Please bear with me for one second.
21	I've shared a lot of documents today.
22	All right. With that, I will open this for board
23	comment.
24	BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON: I've read it. I think it's
25	good. I'm ready to move its adoption.
	-102-

1 CHAIRMAN URBAN: Thank you, Mr. Thompson. 2 Boy. Mr. Le and Ms. de la Torre happened at the 3 same time. 4 Ms. -- Mr. -- Mr. Le. 5 BOARD MEMBER LE: Oh, yeah, just -- I thought we 6 were going to make a motion, but yeah, I'm fine with 7 (indiscernible). Thank you, Mr. Le. 8 CHAIRMAN URBAN: 9 Ms. de la Torre? 10 BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: I am fine as well. Ι 11 will suggest that if we are meeting in the next month, we 12 need to consider how we going to transfer these 13 delegation to the executive director at -- at that point. 14 I also think that we might want to consider the idea of 15 delegating authority to a vice chair to support the work 16 that the chair is doing. We don't have time to discuss 17 that today. Perhaps that's something that we can discuss 18 in our next meeting. 19 CHAIRMAN URBAN: Thank you, Ms. de la Torre. 20 That is a -- it is a very important issue that I am 21 aware of and paying attention to. We will need to 22 transfer the authority cleanly to the executive director. 2.3 And the vice chair ideas is also noted. Thank you. 24 Ms. Sierra, did you have comment? 25 BOARD MEMBER SIERRA: No. -103 -

CHAIRMAN URBAN: Thank you.

1

2	Is there public comment from those in the audience?
3	MR. PANERO: As a reminder, if anyone would like to
4	make a public comment at this time, please press the
5	raised hand icon on your screen or press *9 if you're
6	connected by telephone.
7	I am not seeing any public comments on this item.
8	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Joseph
9	Panero.
10	May I have a motion to approve the delegation of
11	authority as reviewed for this meeting, which starts from
12	this meeting to goes to the next meeting, with the carve-
13	outs that we decided upon last time?
14	BOARD MEMBER SIERRA: I will so move.
15	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Thank you.
16	BOARD MEMBER LE: I'll second.
17	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Thank you, Ms. Sierra.
18	Ms. Sierra moves.
19	Thank you, Mr. Le. Mr. Le seconds.
20	Mr. Joseph Panero, would you please call the roll
21	call vote?
22	MR. PANERO: Certainly.
23	Ms. de la Torre?
24	BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: Aye.
25	MR. PANERO: Ms. de la Torre, Aye. -104-

1 Mr. Le? 2 BOARD MEMBER LE: Aye. 3 MR. PANERO: Mr. Le, aye. Ms. Sierra? 4 5 BOARD MEMBER SIERRA: Aye. MR. PANERO: Ms. Sierra, aye. 6 7 Mr. Thompson? 8 BOARD MEMBER THOMPSON: Aye. 9 MR. PANERO: Mr. Thompson, aye. 10 And Chairperson Urban? 11 CHAIRMAN URBAN: Aye. 12 MR. PANERO: Chairperson Urban, aye. 13 The vote is 5-0. 14 Thank you, Mr. Joseph Panero. CHAIRMAN URBAN: 15 The motion carries. And we will operate under this 16 delegation of authority until the next meeting. We will 17 be sure to have a plan for clean transfer of delegation 18 authority to the executive director. And I will take under advisement the vice chair and the -- and -- and 19 20 consider and research that. 21 Thank you to the board for -- for carefully 22 considering efficiently working on -- it has been a long 23 day. I do apologize. I've been talking a lot. I will start over. 24 25 Thank you to the board for carefully considering and -1051 efficiently disposing of this item.

2	We will now move onto agenda item number 8, public
3	comments on items not on the agenda. This is an
4	opportunity for public comment for any item, not those
5	not just those that are on the agenda. Before we proceed
6	with public comment, please note that the only action the
7	board can take on these items is to listen to comments
8	and consider whether it will discuss the topic at a
9	future meeting.
10	No other action may be taken on the item at the
11	meeting. Though this may seem at times like the board
12	members are not being responsive, these guide
13	following these guidelines is critical to ensure that the
14	rules of the Bagley-Keene Open Meeting Act are followed
15	and to avoid compromising either the commenter's goals or
16	the board's mission.
17	And with that, Mr. Joseph Panero, is there any
18	public comment?
19	MR. PANERO: Thank you, Chairperson. So it looks
20	like we have one comment initially.
21	As a reminder for anyone else who'd like to make a
22	public comment on this item, please press the raised hand
23	on your screen or press *9 if you're connected by
24	telephone.
25	So our first comment is Ray Kitty. You have three -106-

1 minutes to make your comment.

2	MR. KITTY: Hello. I just wanted to sunshine my
3	concern for privacy rights of the previously incarcerated
4	people. I think this is something that deserves some
5	attention. For an example, somebody who is in jail but
6	not convicted of a crime, there is a lot of information
7	out on public websites. I can point you to Sutter County
8	Sheriff, Alpine County, every you know, all all
9	these counties share a lot of information. Information
10	scrapers scoop that up, and it's out there forever.
11	Plus when people when their crimes are
12	expunged you know, we expunged all marijuana
13	convictions and things like that what happens to the
14	data that is now held by the data founders, they got it a
15	year ago, two years ago, do they get rid of that data now
16	that the crimes been expunged? I don't think they do.
17	And so I just wanted to highlight that as an issue.
18	Thank you. Cheers.
19	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Mr. Joseph Panero, are there
20	further public comments?
21	MR. PANERO: There are no further public comments at
22	this time.
23	Oh, sorry. A hand came up as I was saying that. We
24	have comment from Barry Weber(ph.).
25	MR. WEBER: I actually have a a question that may -107-

1	require putting something on a future agenda. So as I
2	understand it, the the employee exemption is due to
3	expire on January 1st, 2023. And I would assume that if
4	that occurs, then it's covered by one of the regulation
5	subcommittees that's dealing with what already exists in
6	CCPA. If that's not clear, or if the agency has the
7	ability to, itself, extend employee exemptions it's
8	not clear to me, and I'm just looking for either an
9	understanding of that or possibly adding something to the
10	next agenda.
11	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Thank you, Mr. Weber.
12	Mr. Phillips, is it proper to clarify where that is
13	with the subcommittees?
14	MR. PHILLIPS: You you certainly if it's a
15	simple question like that, you shouldn't really go into
16	any detail or discussion about comments that are not on
17	the agenda. If it's, like, a one subcommittee answer, I
18	don't think that's a big deal. But but yes, be
19	careful about discussing things not on the agenda.
20	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Thank you, Mr. Phillips.
21	This was an agenda-ized item earlier in the day. I
22	would simply refer Mr. Weber to the presentation from the
23	regulation subcommittee. If you go to the supplemental
24	materials, you will see the subcommittee that issue rests
25	with.
	-108-

1	Mr. Joseph Panero, are there any additional public
2	comments?
3	MR. PANERO: I am not seeing any at this moment, but
4	I'll give just a couple more seconds.
5	I see no further public comments on this item.
6	CHAIRMAN URBAN: Thank you very much, Mr. Joseph
7	Panero.
8	We now turn to agenda item number 13, discussion of
9	future agenda items. This is an opportunity for board
10	members to offer future agenda items, along with any
11	information you have about prioritization and for for
12	potential consideration in a future meeting. We have a
13	list of items, I think, from our discussion today as well
14	as some from June 14th meeting.
15	Obviously, hiring, interviews, and decisions by the
16	board on the relevant positions, additional reports from
17	subcommittees. We have the notice to the Attorney
18	General regarding our authority to do rulemaking as a
19	holdover. Delegation of authority lasts only between
20	meetings, and we will need to consider transfer to the
21	executive director. The conflict of interest code that
22	is currently out for public comments may need to be
23	considered again with a vote or it may not. I will find
24	that out. But that is also on the list.
25	And the other things that we discussed today, -109-

1	informational hearings, engaging with the public. We
2	also have the possibility of further information or
3	presentations. As Mr. Thompson alluded to earlier, we
4	can be trained all together if we publicly if we
5	notice a public meeting.
6	We do have some items that I want to be sure I
7	convey or have not gone unnoticed; we just haven't gotten
8	to them today or yet. One is the question of whether
9	California might apply for adequacy under the EU regime
10	that Ms. de la Torre brought up next time. There's
11	also there was a specific question about the
12	communications policy.
13	We did end up at that we did end up at least
14	clarifying that as long as board members do not make
15	sure that they just that they just make clear that
16	they are not speaking for the agency or the board, they
17	are free to speak in public, but but Ms. de la Torre
18	had some questions about some specific conferences. And
19	that is that is what I have on my list initially.
20	Are there initial future agenda items from board
21	members?
22	Mr. Le.
23	BOARD MEMBER LE: Yeah. Perhaps a presentation
24	from from someone about kind of a little bit more
25	detail on the legislative process or requesting an -110-

1 extension of time, what steps do we have to take or the 2 ED, hopefully by then, to get that. 3 CHAIRMAN URBAN: Thank you, Mr. Le. 4 Further potential future agenda items from board 5 members? Thank you, board members. 6 7 Mr. Joseph Panero, is there public comment? MR. PANERO: I'm not seeing any at this moment. 8 9 As a reminder, if anyone would like to make a public 10 comment, please press the raised hand or press \*9 on your 11 telephone. 12 I see no public comments on this item. 13 CHAIRMAN URBAN: Thank you, Mr. Joseph Panero. 14 We will now move to item 10 on our agenda for today, 15 which is a closed-session item. The board will now go 16 into closed session for the discussion of and possible 17 action on the appointment of an executive director. 18 Closed session is under the authority of Government Code 1126 subsection A, sub-subsection 1. We will return to 19 20 this public session briefly when we are finished with the 21 closed session today. We do hope to be done with the 22 closed session by about 5 o'clock. 2.3 When we return, we will come back into public 24 session but go directly into recess until 11 a.m. 25 tomorrow morning, when we will continue in closed -111-

1	session. I would like to express my deep gratitude to
2	everyone on the board for all the work that you've been
3	doing and the work today in this meeting. My gratitude
4	to Mr. Joseph Panero; Ms. Debbie Castanon, who has been
5	taking minutes and who's done so much to make this
6	meeting happen; Mr. Chris Phillips for being our meeting
7	counsel; Ms. Lila Mirrashidi, who's also done so much to
8	make this meeting happen; and multiple staff at DCA, DGS,
9	the board, and and other groups where for all of
10	the help that they've given us so we can do this. And I
11	would like to thank the public for its attention and
12	valuable participation.
13	With that, we will recess.
14	Board members, we will return to go into public
15	session. I propose that we go into public session and
16	then do a quick discussion of the schedule there. I
17	realize people may need a short break, and I I I
18	suggest that we well, maybe we need to figure that out
19	now. So I just realized we will be in closed session.
20	So if people would like a short break, please let me know
21	and we can take a short break and the board will
22	reconvene in closed session at a certain time.
23	BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: I was just hoping to
24	understand. Do we we log off and then we have to log
25	in under a different link? Is that what's going to -112-

1 happen? 2 CHAIRMAN URBAN: Yes. 3 BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: And you will give the 4 time? 5 CHAIRMAN URBAN: That is correct. 6 BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: Okay. 7 CHAIRMAN URBAN: I will be sure -- we will meet you 8 there at the link that we have for closed session. 9 BOARD MEMBER LE: That was my question. 10 CHAIRMAN URBAN: Okay. Wonderful. So we will leave 11 this meeting. It will remain open, but we will leave. 12 And we will reconvene after closed session link. I will 13 make an executive decision that we will reconvene at 14 2:50. And I thank you all for your continued efforts and 15 energy. And thanks to the public. We will see you again 16 later. 17 BOARD MEMBER LE: Thanks. 18 (End of recording) 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 -113-

1	TRANSCRIBER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
4	
5	This is to certify that I transcribed the
6	foregoing pages 1 to 113 to the best of my ability from
7	an audio recording provided to me.
8	I have subscribed this certificate at
9	Phoenix, Arizona, this 16th day of May, 2022.
10	
11	
12	lynthia R. Piett
13	agnima R. Tello
14	Cynthia Piett
15	eScribers, LLC
16	
17	
18	
19	000
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	-114-

Π