	ECONOMIC IMPA	CT STATEMENT	
DEPARTMENT NAME	CONTACT PERSON	EMAIL ADDRESS	TELEPHONE NUMBER
California Privacy Protection Agency	Kevin Sabo	kevin.sabo@cppa.ca.gov	(916) 244-6637
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400			NOTICE FILE NUMBER
California Consumer Privacy Act Regulatio	ons ————————————————————————————————————		Z
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPAC	TS Include calculations and a	assumptions in the rulemaking record.	
 Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicate a. Impacts business and/or employees b. Impacts small businesses c. Impacts jobs or occupations d. Impacts California competitiveness 	e. Imposes repof. Imposes presog. Impacts indiv	riptive instead of performance	
	checked, complete the Fisency	aplete this Economic Impact Statement. cal Impact Statement as appropriate. pnomic impact of this regulation (which includes	the fiscal impact) is:
(Agency/Department)	csamates that the ecc	s	one nacui impucty is.
⊠ Below \$10 million			
Between \$10 and \$25 million			
Between \$25 and \$50 million			
Over \$50 million [If the economic impact is a specified in Government		equired to submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Impa</u>	<u>ct Assessment</u>
3. Enter the total number of businesses impacted:	66,076		
Describe the types of businesses (Include nonpro	ofits): California businesse	es covered by CCPA, as amended by C	PRA
Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small businesses:	43,843		
4. Enter the number of businesses that will be creat	ed: <u>0</u>	eliminated: 0	
Explain: The proposed regulation has a	small cost per business	s (\$42.50) and is thus unlikely to impa	ct entry/exit decisions.
	Statewide Local or regional (List areas):		
6. Enter the number of jobs created: 0	and eliminated: 15.	9 FTE	
Describe the types of jobs or occupations impact CCPA compliance by 0.5 hours each		ation is expected to increase labor ho	ours required for
7. Will the regulation affect the ability of California bother states by making it more costly to produce	goods or services here?	☐ YES ☒ NO confer competitive benefits nor disa	dvantages on
If YES, explain briefly: No. The regulation California businesses.	ris neither expected to	comer competitive benefits flor disa	avantages on
Camornia pusinesses.			

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

B. ESTIMATED COSTS Include calculations and assur	nptions in the rulemaking record.	
What are the total statewide dollar costs that business	es and individuals may incur to comply with this regula	tion over its lifetime? \$ 2,808,230
a. Initial costs for a small business: \$42.5	Annual ongoing costs: \$ 0	Years:
	Annual ongoing costs: \$ 0	
c. Initial costs for an individual: \$N/A	Annual ongoing costs: \$ N/A	Years:
	nis filing addresses new economic impacts	
The impact of the existing regulations w	as the subject of previous 399/SRIA filings.	
If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of	total costs for each industry:	
	, 	
3. If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, ent Include the dollar costs to do programming, record keep	er the annual costs a typical business may incur to comp ng, reporting, and other paperwork, whether or not the pa	
4. Will this regulation directly impact housing costs?	YES 🔀 NO	
If	YES, enter the annual dollar cost per housing unit: \$	
	Number of units:	
5. Are there comparable Federal regulations?	YES X NO	
Explain the need for State regulation given the existen	ce or absence of Federal regulations:	
Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or indivic	uals that may be due to State - Federal differences: \$	
FSTIMATED RENEEITS Estimation of the dollar val	re of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking l	law hut encouraged
1. Briefly summarize the benefits of the regulation, which		-
health and welfare of California residents, worker safe	ty and the State's environment: welfare of Calif	ornians.
2. Are the benefits the result of: $\overline{\mathbb{X}}$ specific statutory re	quirements, or goals developed by the agency ba	sed on broad statutory authority?
Explain: The opt-out button is a statutory re	quirement and this regulation creates an ex	xception to that requirement.
3. What are the total statewide benefits from this regula	ion over its lifetime? \$ 0	
		<u>—</u>
4. Briefly describe any expansion of businesses currently	doing business within the State of California that would s not expected to cause significant expans	
Due to its illilited scope, this regulation	s not expected to cause significant expans	sion of business.
D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION Include ca specifically required by rulemaking law, but encourage		stimation of the dollar value of benefits is not
List alternatives considered and describe them below.	If no alternatives were considered, explain why not:	
See attached Addendum for detailed ex		
to administer pre-existing statutes and r	egulations. After this initial filing, new regu	ulations are contemplated.

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

				_		. (-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
·•	Summarize the t	total statewide co	osts and benefits fro	om this regul	ation and each al	ternative considere	ed:		
	Regulation:	Benefit: \$	0	Cost: \$ 2,80	08,230	_			
	Alternative 1:	Benefit: \$	N/A	Cost: \$ N/A	4	_			
	Alternative 2:	Benefit: \$	N/A	Cost: \$ N/A	4	_			
3.			issues that are relev for this regulatior		oarison Fetim	atas assuma hu	isinassas ara in co	ompliance with current	laws
			-					nber of impacted busin	
						<u> </u>		·	
•	regulation man	dates the use of	es to consider per specific technolog formance standar	ries or equip	ment, or prescrib	nes specific	YES NO		
								standard would not pro	ovide
	sufficient g	uidance for b	ousinesses.						
•	MAJOR REGUI		le calculations and						
							s and departments (5). Otherwise, skip		
	Will the estimate	ed costs of this re	egulation to Califor	nia business e	enterprises exce	ed \$10 million?	YES X NO		
				If	YES, complete If NO, skip				
2.	Briefly describe	each alternative,	or combination of	alternatives, f	for which a cost-e	effectiveness analys	sis was performed:		
	Alternative 1: _								
	Alternative 2: _								
	(Attach addition	al pages for other	alternatives)						
3.	For the regulati	ion, and each alte	ernative just descrik	oed, enter the	estimated total	cost and overall cos	st-effectiveness ratio:		
	Regulation: T	Fotal Cost \$		C	ost-effectiveness	ratio: \$		_	
	Alternative 1: T	otal Cost \$		c	ost-effectiveness	ratio: \$		_	
	Alternative 2: T	otal Cost \$		c	ost-effectiveness	ratio: \$		_	
۱. ۱	exceeding \$50 i	million in any 12-		ween the date	the major regul			ed in or doing business in Cali retary of State through12 mor	
		× NO							
			bmit a <u>Standardized</u> 3(c) and to include t				'n		
5.	Briefly describe	the following:							
	The increase or	decrease of inve	stment in the State	e: We c	lo not expect	t a significant c	direct impact on i	investment in the State	<u>;</u>
	The incentive fo	or innovation in p	products, materials	or processes:	 Detail	ed specificatio	n of user interfac	e may reduce product	
		•		•			nsumer benefits.		
			ncluding, but not li				are of California dentified by the agency	y:	
								ation are estimated to h	 02 \$0

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

\$ a. Funding provided in Budget Act of		 Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Y (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the Californi 			nent Code).
Budget Act of		\$			
b. Funding will be requested in the Governor's Budget Act of Fiscal Year:		a. Funding provided in			
Fiscal Year. 2. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year which are NOT reimbursable by the State. (Approximate) (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code). \$ Check reason(s) this regulation is not reimbursable and provide the appropriate information: a. Implements the Federal mandate contained in b. Implements the court mandate set forth by the Court. Case of: vs. c. Implements a mandate of the people of this State expressed in their approval of Proposition No. Date of Election: d. Issued only in response to a specific request from affected local entity(s). Local entity(s) affected: e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, etc. from: Authorized by Section: of the Code; f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of local government which will, at a minimum, offset any additional costs to each; g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a new crime or infraction contained in 3. Annual Savings. (approximate) \$ 4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes only technical, non-substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulations.		Budget Act of	or Chapter	, Statutes of	
2. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year which are NOT reimbursable by the State. (Approximate) (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code). \$		b. Funding will be requested in the Governor's Bu	dget Act of		
2. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year which are NOT reimbursable by the State. (Approximate) (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code). \$			Fiscal Year:		
Check reason(s) this regulation is not reimbursable and provide the appropriate information: a. Implements the Federal mandate contained in b. Implements the court mandate set forth by the Case of: Vs. Case of: Vs. Case of: Vs. Date of Election: d. Issued only in response to a specific request from affected local entity(s). Local entity(s) affected: Authorized by Section: Authorized by Section: of the Code; f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of local government which will, at a minimum, offset any additional costs to each; g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a new crime or infraction contained in 3. Annual Savings. (approximate) \$ 4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes only technical, non-substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulations.		(Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the Californi			
b. Implements the court mandate set forth by the Case of: vs. c. Implements a mandate of the people of this State expressed in their approval of Proposition No. Date of Election: d. Issued only in response to a specific request from affected local entity(s). Local entity(s) affected: e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, etc. from: Authorized by Section: of the Code; f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of local government which will, at a minimum, offset any additional costs to each; g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a new crime or infraction contained in 3. Annual Savings. (approximate) \$ 4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes only technical, non-substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulations.			rovide the appropriate in	formation:	
Case of:		a. Implements the Federal mandate contained in			
c. Implements a mandate of the people of this State expressed in their approval of Proposition No. Date of Election: d. Issued only in response to a specific request from affected local entity(s). Local entity(s) affected: e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, etc. from: Authorized by Section: of the Code; f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of local government which will, at a minimum, offset any additional costs to each; g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a new crime or infraction contained in 3. Annual Savings. (approximate) \$ 4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes only technical, non-substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulations.		b. Implements the court mandate set forth by the			Court.
Date of Election: d. Issued only in response to a specific request from affected local entity(s). Local entity(s) affected: e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, etc. from: Authorized by Section: of the Code; f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of local government which will, at a minimum, offset any additional costs to each; g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a new crime or infraction contained in 3. Annual Savings. (approximate) \$ 4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes only technical, non-substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulations.		Case of:		VS	
d. Issued only in response to a specific request from affected local entity(s). Local entity(s) affected: e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, etc. from: Authorized by Section: of the Code; f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of local government which will, at a minimum, offset any additional costs to each; g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a new crime or infraction contained in 3. Annual Savings. (approximate) \$ 4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes only technical, non-substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulations.		c. Implements a mandate of the people of this Sta	te expressed in their ap	oroval of Proposition No.	
Local entity(s) affected: e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, etc. from: Authorized by Section:		Date of Election:			
e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, etc. from: Authorized by Section: of the Code; f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of local government which will, at a minimum, offset any additional costs to each; g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a new crime or infraction contained in 3. Annual Savings. (approximate) \$		d. Issued only in response to a specific request fro	m affected local entity(s).	
Authorized by Section: of the Code; f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of local government which will, at a minimum, offset any additional costs to each; g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a new crime or infraction contained in 3. Annual Savings. (approximate) \$ 4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes only technical, non-substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulations.		Local entity(s) affected:			
Authorized by Section: of the Code; f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of local government which will, at a minimum, offset any additional costs to each; g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a new crime or infraction contained in 3. Annual Savings. (approximate) \$					
g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a new crime or infraction contained in 3. Annual Savings. (approximate) \$ 4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes only technical, non-substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulations.		e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, etc	c. from:		
g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a new crime or infraction contained in 3. Annual Savings. (approximate) \$ 4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes only technical, non-substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulations.		Authorized by Section:	of	the	Code;
3. Annual Savings. (approximate) \$ 4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes only technical, non-substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulations.		f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of loc	al government which wi	ll, at a minimum, offset any addit	ional costs to each;
\$ 4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes only technical, non-substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulations.		g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a	a new crime or infractior	n contained in	
\$ 4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes only technical, non-substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulations.	7 :	3. Annual Savings. (approximate)			
4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes only technical, non-substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulations.	'				
5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any local entity or program.	_				ent law regulations.
	< .	5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect	any local entity or progr	am.	

STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations as year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	nd assumptions of fiscal impact for the curren
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
It is anticipated that State agencies will:	
a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.	
b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for the	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
4. Other. Explain	
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	d attach calculations and assumptions of fisco
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.	
4. Other. Explain	
FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE	DATE
Chitambira, Vongayi@CPPA Digitally signed by Chitambira, Vongayi@CPPA Date: 2023.03.24 16:43:42 -07'00'	March 24, 2023
The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in SAM the impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency Sechighest ranking official in the organization.	
AGENCY SECRETARY	DATE
Soltani, Ashkan@CPPA Digitally signed by Soltani, Ashkan@CPPA Date: 2023.03.24 17:25:17 -07'00'	March 24, 2023
Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fisca	al Impact Statement in the STD. 399.
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER	DATE