STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

	ECONOMIC IMP	ACT STATEMENT	
DEPARTMENT NAME	CONTACT PERSON	EMAIL ADDRESS	TELEPHONE NUMBER
California Privacy Protection Agency	Tamara Colson	tamara.colson@cppa.ca.gov	
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400			NOTICE FILE NUMBER
Delete Request and Opt-out Platform Re	gulations		Z
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPA	CTS Include calculations and	d assumptions in the rulemaking record.	
 1. Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicat a. Impacts business and/or employees b. Impacts small businesses c. Impacts jobs or occupations d. Impacts California competitiveness 	e. Imposes repf. Imposes preg. Impacts ind	porting requirements escriptive instead of performance dividuals e above (Explain below):	
	s checked, complete the F gency	omplete this Economic Impact Statement. Fiscal Impact Statement as appropriate. economic impact of this regulation (which includes the	ne fiscal impact) is:
───────────────────────────────────			
Between \$10 and \$25 million			
Between \$25 and \$50 million			
	is over \$50 million, agencies are ent Code Section 11346.3(c)]	required to submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Impact</u>	Assessment
3. Enter the total number of businesses impacted:	496		
Describe the types of businesses (Include nonp	rofits): Data brokers wh	o collect and sell California consumer pe	rsonal information (PI)
Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small businesses:	270	+	
4. Enter the number of businesses that will be cre	ated: Unknown	eliminated: Unknown	
Explain: Businesses may be created to	support implementat	ion actions; some businesses may cease	operation in California
5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts:	Statewide Local or regional (List areas)		
6. Enter the number of jobs created: Unknow	n and eliminated:	Jnknown	
		nology (IT) jobs may be created to suppo ted if some data brokers cease operation	
 Will the regulation affect the ability of California other states by making it more costly to produce If YES, explain briefly: 		☐ YES NO	
п тез, ехріантопену.			
1			

STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

B. ESTIMATED COSTS Include calc	ulations and assumptions in th	e rulemaking record.	
What are the total statewide dollar	costs that businesses and indivi	iduals may incur to comply with this regulatio	n over its lifetime? \$ 10,588,204 (10 yrs)
a. Initial costs for a small business:	\$ 599	Annual ongoing costs: \$ 1,873	Years: ongoing
b. Initial costs for a typical busines	s: \$ 716	Annual ongoing costs: \$ 2,809	Years: ongoing
c. Initial costs for an individual:	\$ 0	Annual ongoing costs: \$ 0	Years: ongoing
d. Describe other economic costs t	that may occur: A larger nu	umber of consumers may delete or	opt-out of sale/sharing of their
personal information, which	reduces how much PI a da	ta broker has to sell; however, this is a	statutory cost to impacted businesses.
If multiple industries are impacted	, enter the share of total costs fo	or each industry: Only data brokers of 0	California consumer's personal
information are impacted			
3. If the regulation imposes reporting include the dollar costs to do prograr	requirements, enter the annual nming, record keeping, reporting,	l costs a typical business may incur to comply a, and other paperwork, whether or not the pape	with these requirements. nwork must be submitted. \$ 2,809
4. Will this regulation directly impact I	housing costs? YES	X NO	
	If YES, enter th	ne annual dollar cost per housing unit: \$	
		Number of units:	
Are there comparable Federal regul	lations? YES	× NO	
Explain the need for State regulation California statutory manda		te of Federal regulations: Regulations are techanism (ADM).	e needed to implement the
Enter any additional costs to busine	sses and/or individuals that ma	y be due to State - Federal differences: \$ No	one
E. ESTIMATED BENEFITS Estimation	on of the dollar value of benefits	s is not specifically required by rulemaking law	v <mark>, but encouraged</mark> .
 Briefly summarize the benefits of the health and welfare of California res about how to comply with 	idents, worker safety and the St	tate's environment: Data brokers of Cal	ifornian's PI will benefit from clarity efficient means of opting out of the
sharing or sale of their per	sonal information.		
2. Are the benefits the result of: 🔀	specific statutory requirements,	or goals developed by the agency base	d on broad statutory authority?
Explain: Existing California la	w mandates that data br	rokers interact with the ADM to pro	cess consumer deletion requests.
3. What are the total statewide benefi	its from this regulation over its l	lifetime? \$ Unknown	
			Latin Control of the
		ess within the State of California that would re d their businesses within California	
Some data brokers and in	consultants may expand	a tren businesses within camorna	due to the proposed regulations.
-			
D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGUL specifically required by rulemaking		nd assumptions in the rulemaking record. Esti	imation of the dollar value of benefits is not
List alternatives considered and de	scribe them below. If no alterna	ntives were considered, explain why not:	e alternative considered is the
			rt information. A second alternative
considered was use of ma	nual uploads/download	ls of information. Proposed regula	tions allow use of either option.

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

			ECONO	JIVII II	VIFAC	ISIAI	EMENT (CONTI	(UED)	
2.	Summarize the tota	al statewide	costs and benefits	from this re	gulation a	and each al	ternative considered:		
	Regulation: E	Benefit: \$	Unknown	Cost: \$	1,058,82	20 per yr			
			Unknown				_		
		_	Unknown		Julia		-		
2	Briefly discuss any	_		-			-		
٥.			its for this regulati			The CI	PPA lacks data on how	w many businesses w	ill use the API
	option, the m	anual opt	tion, or a comb	ination o	f the op	otions. A	llowing businesses to	o use either option w	ill minimize costs.
4.	Rulemaking law re regulation manda actions or procedu	ites the use oures. Were p	of specific technol performance stand	ogies or eq ards consid	uipment, ered to lo	or prescrib ower comp	es specific iance costs? XES	NO	
	Explain: The pro	oposed re	gulations utiliz	ze perfor	mance :	standard	s for implementation	of the mandated AE	M. The proposed
	regulations pre	escribe spe	cific actions for	consisten	cy across	s regulate	d entities in processing	and reporting request	s through the ADM.
	MAJOR REGULA	TIONS Inclu	ude calculations a	nd assumpt	ions in th	e rulemaki	ng record.		
	C				-) boards, offices and de de section 57005). Oth	epartments are required erwise, skip to E4.	l to
1.	Will the estimated	costs of this	regulation to Califo	ornia busine	ess enterp	rises excee	d \$10 million? YES	NO	
					-	complete NO, skip	E2. and E3 to E4		
2.	Briefly describe eac	ch alternativ	e, or combination o	of alternativ	es, for wh	ich a cost-e	ffectiveness analysis was pe	erformed:	
	Alternative 1:								
	Alternative 2:								
	(Attach additional p	pages for oth	er alternatives)						
3.			Iternative just desc	ribed, enter			ost and overall cost-effecti	veness ratio:	
	Regulation: Tota				-	fectiveness			
	Alternative 1: Tota	_				fectiveness			
	Alternative 2: Tota	al Cost \$			Cost-ef	fectiveness	ratio: \$		
4.	exceeding \$50 mil	llion in any 1		tween the	date the n			lividuals located in or doing d with the Secretary of State	
		NO							
			submit a <u>Standardiz</u> 6.3(c) and to includ				<u>(SRIA)</u> as specified in of Reasons.		
5.	Briefly describe the								
								accessible delete mec	
	Proposed reg	ulations p	provide the mo	st flexibl	e and e	fficient r	neans of implementi	ng this statutory ma	ndate.
	The incentive for i	nnovation in	products, materia	ls or proces	ses: The	propose	d regulations provide	flexibility to covered	data brokers in the
								ninimize cost to busi	
	The benefits of the	e regulations	s, including, but no	t limited to,	benefits t	to the healt	h, safety, and welfare of Cal	lifornia by the agency: Benefits	of the regulations
		-			-			nefit from such an app	
			The state of the s						AND REAL PROPERTY OF THE PARTY

STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL EFFECT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT Indicurrent year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	cate appropriate boxes 1 ti	hrough 6 and attach calculations a	and assumptions of fiscal impact for the
Additional expenditures in the current State Fis (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the Cali			nent Code).
\$			
a. Funding provided in			
Budget Act of	or Chapter	, Statutes of	
b. Funding will be requested in the Governor		, statutes of	
b. I diffully will be requested in the dovernor			
	Fiscal Year:		
Additional expenditures in the current State Fis (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the Cali			
\$			
Check reason(s) this regulation is not reimbursable of	and provide the appropriate	information:	
a. Implements the Federal mandate containe	d in		
b. Implements the court mandate set forth by	y the		Court.
Case of:		VS.	
c. Implements a mandate of the people of thi			
Data of Florian		·	
Date of Election: d. Issued only in response to a specific reques	t from affected local antibu	del	
	c nom anected local entity	(13).	
Local entity(s) affected:			
e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenu	e, etc. from:		
Authorized by Section:		of the	Code;
f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of	f local government which	will, at a minimum, offset any addit	ional costs to each;
g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty	for a new crime or infraction	on contained in	
3. Annual Savings. (approximate)		-	
3. Altitudi Savings. (approximate)			
\$			
\$4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation ma	akes <mark>only t</mark> echn <mark>ical, non</mark> -sub	stantive or clarifying changes to curr	rent law regulations.
			rent law regulations.

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD, 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and as year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	sumptions of fiscal impact for the currer
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
It is anticipated that State agencies will:	
a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.	
b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for the	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program.	
∠ 4. Other. Explain CPPA accounts for state fiscal costs through a submitted 2025/26 BCP. Fig. 4. Other. Explain CPPA accounts for state fiscal costs through a submitted 2025/26 BCP. Fig. 4. Other. Explain CPPA accounts for state fiscal costs through a submitted 2025/26 BCP. Fig. 4. Other. Explain CPPA accounts for state fiscal costs through a submitted 2025/26 BCP. Fig. 4. Other. Explain CPPA accounts for state fiscal costs through a submitted 2025/26 BCP. Fig. 4. Other. Explain CPPA accounts for state fiscal costs through a submitted 2025/26 BCP. Fig. 4. Other. Explain CPPA accounts for state fiscal costs through a submitted 2025/26 BCP. Fig. 4. Other. Explain CPPA accounts for state fiscal costs through a submitted 2025/26 BCP. Fig. 4. Other. Explain CPPA accounts for state fiscal costs through a submitted 2025/26 BCP.	scal costs are \$2,477,000
in 2025/26 and \$2,340,000 in 2026/27. Ongoing fiscal costs will be covered by a	a data broker fee system.
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and atta impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	ich calculations and assumptions of fisco
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
4. Other. Explain	
FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE	DATE
/S/	4/15/2025
The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in SAM sect	
the impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency Secreta highest ranking official in the organization.	ry must have the form signed by the
AGENCY SECRETARY	DATE
/S/	4/15/2025
Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fiscal Im	pact Statement in the STD, 399.
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER	DATE
*	